arXiv:1205.6277v1 [math.AP] 29 May 2012

GLOBAL SOLUTION AND TIME DECAY OF THE VLASOV-POISSON-LANDAU SYSTEM IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

YANJIN WANG

Abstract. We construct the global unique solution near a global Maxwellian to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in the whole space. The total density of two species of particles decays at the optimal algebraic rates as the Landau equation in the whole space, but the disparity between two species and the electric potential decay at the faster rates as the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in a periodic box.

1. Introduction

The dynamics of charged dilute particles (e.g., electrons and ions) in the absence of magnetic effects can be described by the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t F_+ + v\cdot\nabla_x F_+ - \nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla_v F_+ = Q(F_+,F_+) + Q(F_-,F_+),\\
&\partial_t F_- + v\cdot\nabla_x F_- + \nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla_v F_- = Q(F_+,F_-) + Q(F_-,F_-),\\
&-\Delta_x\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F_+ - F_-)\,dv,\\
&F_\pm(0,x,v) = F_{0,\pm}(x,v).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $F_\pm(t,x,v)\ge 0$ are the number density functions for the ions $(+)$ and electrons $(-)$ respectively, at time $t\ge 0$, position $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ and velocity $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)\in\mathbb{R}^3$. The self-consistent electric potential $\phi(t,x)$ is coupled with $F_\pm(t,x,v)$ through the Poisson equation. The collision between charged particles is given by the Landau (Fokker-Planck) operator:

$$Q(G_1,G_2)(v) = \nabla_v\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Phi(v-v')\left(G_1(v')\nabla_v G_2(v) - G_2(v)\nabla_{v'}G_1(v')\right)dv', \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\Phi(v) = \frac{1}{|v|}\left(I - \frac{v\otimes v}{|v|^2}\right). \tag{1.3}$$

Since all the physical constants will not create essential mathematical difficulties along our analysis, for notational simplicity, we have normalized all constants in the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system to be one. Accordingly, we normalize the global Maxwellian as

$$\mu(v)\equiv\mu_+(v)=\mu_-(v)=\mathrm{e}^{-|v|^2}. \tag{1.4}$$

We define the standard perturbation $f_\pm(t,x,v)$ to μ as

$$F_\pm = \mu + \sqrt{\mu}f_\pm. \tag{1.5}$$

Letting $f(t,x,v)=\binom{f_+(t,x,v)}{f_-(t,x,v)}$, the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system for the perturbation now takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x \mp \nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla_v\} f_\pm \pm 2\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu} + L_\pm f = \Gamma_\pm(f,f) \mp \nabla_x\phi\cdot v f_\pm,\\
&-\Delta_x\phi = \int \sqrt{\mu}(f_+ - f_-)\,dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 82C40; 82D05; 82D10; 35B40.

Key words and phrases. Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system; Global solution; Time decay rate; Energy method.

Partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China-NSAF (No. 10976026).

For any $g = \binom{g_1}{g_2}$, the linearized collision operator Lg in (1.6) is given by the vector

$$Lg \equiv \begin{pmatrix} L_+g \\ L_-g \end{pmatrix} \equiv -\begin{pmatrix} 2A_*g_1 + K_*(g_1+g_2) \\ 2A_*g_2 + K_*(g_1+g_2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.7}$$

with A_*, K_* defined by

$$A_*\varphi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}Q(\mu, \sqrt{\mu}\varphi), \quad K_*\varphi \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}Q(\sqrt{\mu}\varphi, \mu). \tag{1.8}$$

For $g = \binom{g_1}{g_2}$ and $h = \binom{h_1}{h_2}$, the nonlinear collision operator $\Gamma(g,h)$ in (1.6) is given by the vector

$$\Gamma(g,h) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_+(g,h) \\ \Gamma_-(g,h) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_*(g_1+g_2, h_1) \\ \Gamma_*(g_1+g_2, h_2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.9}$$

with Γ_* defined by

$$\Gamma_*(\varphi,\psi) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}}Q(\sqrt{\mu}\varphi, \sqrt{\mu}\psi). \tag{1.10}$$

Notation. For notational simplicity, we use $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to denote the L^2 inner product in $\mathbb{R}^3_v$, while we use $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to denote the L^2 inner product in either $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$ or $\mathbb{R}^3_x$ without any ambiguity. Sometimes, we shall use $\int g$ to denote the integration of g over $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$ or $\mathbb{R}^3_x$. Letting the multi-indices α and β be $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3]$, $\beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3]$, we define $\partial^\alpha_\beta \equiv \partial^{\alpha_1}_{x_1}\partial^{\alpha_2}_{x_2}\partial^{\alpha_3}_{x_3}\partial^{\beta_1}_{v_1}\partial^{\beta_2}_{v_2}\partial^{\beta_3}_{v_3}$. If each component of θ is not greater than that of $\bar{\theta}$'s, we denote by $\theta \le \bar{\theta}$; $\theta < \bar{\theta}$ means $\theta \le \bar{\theta}$, and $|\theta| < |\bar{\theta}|$ where $|\theta| = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3$. We use ∇^ℓ with an integer $\ell \ge 0$ for the any ∂^α with $|\alpha| = \ell$. When $\ell < 0$ or ℓ is not a positive integer, ∇^ℓ stands for Λ^ℓ defined by

$$\Lambda^s f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x\cdot\xi}\, d\xi, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f. We use $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ to denote the homogeneous Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with norm defined by $\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|\Lambda^s f\|_{L^2}$, and we use $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to denote the usual Sobolev spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$. We shall use $\|\cdot\|_p$ to denote L^p norms in either $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$ or $\mathbb{R}^3_x$. Letting $w(v) \ge 1$ be a weight function, we use $\|\cdot\|_{p,w}$ to denote the weighted L^p norms in $\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v$. We also use $|\cdot|_p$ for the L^p norms in $\mathbb{R}^3_v$, and $|\cdot|_{p,w}$ for the weighted L^p norms in $\mathbb{R}^3_v$. We will use the mixed spatial-velocity spaces, e.g., $L^2_v H^s_x = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_v; H^s(\mathbb{R}^3_x))$, etc.

Throughout the paper we let C denote some positive (generally large) universal constants and λ denote some positive (generally small) universal constants. They *do not* depend on either l or m; otherwise, we will denote them by C_l, $C_{l,m}$, etc. We will use $A \lesssim B$ ($A \gtrsim B$ and $A \sim B$) if $A \le CB$. We use C_0 and λ_0 to denote the constants depending on the initial data and l, m, s.

For the Landau operator (1.2), we define

$$\sigma^{ij}(v) = \Phi^{ij} * \mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi^{ij}(v-v')\mu(v')\, dv'. \tag{1.12}$$

We define the weighted norms

$$|f|_{\sigma,w} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^2 \left[\sigma^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j f + \sigma^{ij} v_i v_j f^2\right] dv \text{ and } \|f\|_{\sigma,w} = \left\| |f|_{\sigma,w} \right\|_2. \tag{1.13}$$

From Lemma 3 in [9], we have

$$|f|_{\sigma,w} \sim \left|\langle v\rangle^{-\frac12} f\right|_{2,w} + \left|\langle v\rangle^{-\frac32} \nabla_v f \cdot \frac{v}{|v|}\right|_{2,w} + \left|\langle v\rangle^{-\frac12} \nabla_v f \times \frac{v}{|v|}\right|_{2,w} \tag{1.14}$$

with $\langle v\rangle = \sqrt{1+|v|^2}$. Let $|f|_\sigma = |f|_{\sigma,1}$ and $\|f\|_\sigma = \|f\|_{\sigma,1}$. It is well known that the linear collision operator $L \ge 0$ and is locally coercive in the sense that

$$\langle Lf, f\rangle \gtrsim |\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|^2_\sigma, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ denotes the L^2_v orthogonal projection on the null space of L:

$$N(L) \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{ \sqrt{\mu}\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{\mu}\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}, v\sqrt{\mu}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}, |v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{1.16}$$

As in [8], we define the following velocity weight

$$w(\alpha,\beta)(v)\equiv e^{\frac{q|v|^2}{2}}\langle v\rangle^{2(l-|\alpha|-|\beta|)},\qquad l\geq|\alpha|+|\beta|,\quad 0\leq q\ll 1. \tag{1.17}$$

Letting $l\geq m$, we define the energy functional by

$$\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)=\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m}\left\|\partial_\beta^\alpha f\right\|_{2,w(\alpha,\beta)}^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2, \tag{1.18}$$

and the corresponding dissipation rate by

$$\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f)\equiv\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m}\left\|\partial_\beta^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta)}^2+\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m}\|\partial^\alpha\mathbf{P}f\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\|(f_+-f_-)\|_2^2. \tag{1.19}$$

We also define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)\equiv\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f)-\|(f_+-f_-)\|_2^2\ \text{ and }\ \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)\equiv\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+\|\mathbf{P}f\|_2^2. \tag{1.20}$$

We remark that $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)$ are the dissipations used in [18] and [8] respectively, and we introduce them for the presentational convenience. Note that there is a cascade of velocity weights in (1.18) and (1.19) so that fewer derivatives of f demand stronger velocity weights. Our first main result of the global unique solution to the system (1.6) is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that f_0 satisfies $F_{0,\pm}(x,v)=\mu+\sqrt{\mu}f_{0,\pm}(x,v)\geq 0$. There exists a sufficiently small $M>0$ such that if $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)\leq M$, then there exists a unique global solution $f(t,x,v)$ to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system* (1.6) *with $F_\pm(t,x,v)=\mu+\sqrt{\mu}f_\pm(t,x,v)\geq 0$.*

(1) If $\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0)<+\infty$ for $l\geq 2,\ 0\leq q\ll 1$, then there exists $C_l>0$ such that

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\infty}\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f(t))+\int_0^\infty\mathcal{D}_{2;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\leq C_l\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0). \tag{1.21}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k(f_+-f_-)(t)\right\|_2\\&\leq C_l(1+t)^{-2(l-1)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,0}(f_0)}\end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k(f_+-f_-)(t)\right\|_2\\&\leq C_le^{-C_lt^{2/3}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0)}\ \textit{for}\ 0<q\ll 1.\end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

(2) In addition, if $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)<\infty$ for any $l>2,\ l\geq m\geq 2,\ 0\leq q\ll 1$, there exists an increasing continuous function $P_{m,l}(\cdot)$ with $P_{m,l}(0)=0$ such that the unique solution satisfies

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq\infty}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(t))+\int_0^\infty\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\leq P_{m,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)). \tag{1.24}$$

Theorem 1.1 will be proved in sections 3 and 4 by using the strategy of [8] in which Guo proved the first result of the global unique solution near Maxwellians to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in a periodic box. There are two folds in this strategy. First, by the introduction of the exponential weight $e^{\pm(q+1)\phi}$ to cancel the growth of the velocity in the nonlinear term $\mp\nabla_x\phi\cdot vf_\pm$ and the introduction of the velocity weight (1.17) to capture the weak velocity diffusion in the Landau kernel, assuming that the conservation of mass, momentum as well as energy holds, Guo [8] first derived the following energy inequality (for $m=l=2,q=0$):

$$\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)+\int_0^t\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\,ds\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)+\int_0^t\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\,ds. \tag{1.25}$$

The reason why the term $\|\mathbf{P}f\|_2^2$ is excluded from our dissipation rate is that the Poincaré inequality fails in the whole space. However, this requires us the much more careful arguments in section 3 when deriving the energy inequality of type (1.25) for the whole space with $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)$ replaced by $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)$; we need to prove an improved refined estimate for the nonlinear collision term as Lemma 2.3 in section 2. Second, thanks again to the conservation laws and the Poincaré inequality in the periodic box, Guo [8] then derived the following differential inequality:

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y + \sum_{k=0,1} \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2 \le 0, \tag{1.26}$$

where $Y(t) \sim \sum_{k=0,1} \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_2^2$. By applying the method previously developed in Strain and Guo [16, 17], then a decay rate of the electric potential is extracted from (1.26):

$$\|\partial_t \phi(t)\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi(t)\|_\infty \lesssim \sqrt{Y(t)} \lesssim (1+t)^{-2}. \tag{1.27}$$

Hence the energy estimates (1.25) is closed by applying the standard Gronwall lemma. However, as remarked in [8], the strong decay rate of (1.27) (and (1.26)) is a consequence of the periodic box (and the conservation laws!), and it remains open if a sufficient decay rate can be obtained for the whole space. To get the sufficient decay rate of ϕ in the whole space case, in addition to (1.25), Strain and Zhu [18] further developed another energy inequality:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^h(f) + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^h(f) \lesssim [\|\partial_t \phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_\infty]\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^h(f) + \|\nabla_x \mathbf{P} f\|_2^2, \tag{1.28}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^h(f)$ denotes some high-order energy functional that does not contain $\|\mathbf{P}f\|_2^2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}^h(f)$ is the corresponding dissipation. Assuming additionally that the $L^2_v L^1_x$ norm of the initial data is small, by combining these energy estimates and the linear decay analysis, Strain and Zhu [18] obtained a decay rate of

$$\|\partial_t \phi(t)\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi(t)\|_\infty \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}+\varepsilon} \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3;l;0}(f_0)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_v L^1_x}\right), \tag{1.29}$$

where $\varepsilon = (l - \frac{5}{2})^{-1}\frac{5}{8} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$ if $l > 5$.

The $L^2_v L^1_x$ assumption of the initial data seems crucial for the global existence of the solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in the whole space in Strain and Zhu [18]; see also Duan, Yang and Zhao [4] for the one-species Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in the whole space. However, as well illustrated in Theorem 1.1, we have removed such kind of assumption and our Theorem 1.1 for the whole space is almost like Theorem 2 for the periodic box case in Guo [8]. The key motivation is that the real thing we need to close the estimates (1.25) is a strong decay rate of ϕ rather than the whole solution! Let us look back at the system (1.6), and we note that ϕ depends only on $f_+ - f_-$ and also that there are some cancelations between the "+" and "−" equations. We are then led to consider the sum and difference of f_+ and f_-:

$$f_1 = f_+ + f_- \text{ and } f_2 = f_+ - f_-. \tag{1.30}$$

The Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system (1.6) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 + \mathcal{L}_1 f_1 = \Gamma_*(f_1, f_1) + \nabla_x \phi \cdot (\nabla_v f_2 - v f_2), \\
&\partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 + 4\nabla_x \phi \cdot v\sqrt{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_2 f_2 = \Gamma_*(f_1, f_2) + \nabla_x \phi \cdot (\nabla_v f_1 - v f_1), \\
&-\Delta_x \phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2 \sqrt{\mu}\, dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.31}$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_1 = -2(A_* + K_*)$ is the one-species linearized Landau operator and $\mathcal{L}_2 = -2A_*$. Notice that $[\mathcal{L}_1 f_1, \mathcal{L}_2 f_2]$ is equivalent to Lf, and their null spaces are

$$N(\mathcal{L}_1) \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{\sqrt{\mu},\ v\sqrt{\mu},\ |v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\right\} \text{ and } N(\mathcal{L}_2) \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{\sqrt{\mu}\right\}. \tag{1.32}$$

Let $\mathbf{P_i}$ be the L^2_v orthogonal projection on the null space of $\mathcal{L}_i$ respectively, then

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_i f, f\rangle \ge \delta_0 \left|\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_i}\}f\right|_\sigma^2, \ i = 1, 2. \tag{1.33}$$

Notice that the linear homogeneous system of (1.31) is decoupled into two independent subsystems: one is the Landau equation for f_1; the other one is a system almost like the one-species Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system for f_2 and ϕ but with the linear collision operator $\mathcal{L}_2$. Then our key observation is that we can include the full $\|f_2\|_\sigma^2$ in our dissipation rate (1.19): $\mathcal{L}_2$ controls the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2$ by (1.33); while the presence of the electric field can control the hydrodynamic part $\mathbf{P_2}f_2$! This special coupling effect between $\mathcal{L}_2$ and the Poisson equation and the decoupling make us be able to derive a differential inequality similar as (1.26):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0^1(f_2)+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\le 0, \tag{1.34}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0^1(f_2)(t)\sim\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2$. Hence, in the whole space we can obtain the same decay rate of ϕ as the periodic case in Guo [8]. It is also interesting to point out that our observation also works for the periodic case, and hence we can still prove the global solution even without the assumption of the conservation laws which is crucial in Guo [8] so that the Poincaré inequality can be employed.

Our second main result is on some further decay rates of the solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system (1.6) by making the much stronger assumption on the initial data. We remark that our main purpose is to clarify how to derive these decay rates for the solution and its higher-order spatial derivatives, so we will not pursue the optimal spatial regularity and velocity moments and smallness assumptions on the initial data.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that f is the solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system* (1.6) *constructed in Theorem 1.1. Fix $l\ge m\ge 2$, then there exists a sufficiently small $M=M(m,l)$ such that if $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f_0)\le M$, then*

(1) If $l\ge m+\frac{3}{4}$, then for $\ell=1,\dots,m$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k(f_+-f_-)(t)\right\|_2\le C_{l,\ell}(1+t)^{-2(l-\ell)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f_0)}; \tag{1.35}$$

and if in addition $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)<+\infty$ for $0<q\ll 1$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k(f_+-f_-)(t)\right\|_2\le C_{l,m}e^{-C_{l,m}t^{2/3}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)}. \tag{1.36}$$

(2) If $\|\Lambda^{-s}(f_{0,+}+f_{0,-})\|_2<+\infty$ for some $s\in[0,3/2)$, then

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s}(f_++f_-)(t)\right\|_2\le C_0. \tag{1.37}$$

Moreover, if $l\ge\max\{m+\frac{3}{4},\frac{5}{4}m+\frac{s-1}{4}\}$, then for $\ell=0,\dots,m-1$,

$$\sum_{\ell\le k\le m}\left\|\nabla^k(f_++f_-)(t)\right\|_2\le C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{\ell+s}{2}}; \tag{1.38}$$

for any fixed small $\varepsilon>0$, if $l\ge\frac{m-1+s}{4\varepsilon}+m-\frac{9}{4}$, then for $\ell=0,\dots,m-2$,

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}(f_++f_-)(t)\right\|_2\le C_0(1+t)^{-\frac{\ell+s+1-\varepsilon}{2}}. \tag{1.39}$$

The followings are several remarks for our main theorems.

Remark 1.3. *We can consider the generalized Landau operator with, see* [12, 3, 9]*,*

$$\Phi(v)=\frac{1}{|v|^{\gamma+2}}\left(I-\frac{v\otimes v}{|v|^2}\right),\quad\gamma\ge-3. \tag{1.40}$$

It is easy to conclude from our proof that the global unique solution f to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system near Maxwellians exists for all $\gamma\ge-3$. If $\gamma\ge-2$, then the decay rates of f_+-f_- and ϕ in our theorems can be improved to be an exponential rate; and we can take $\varepsilon=0$ in (1.39) *so that the decay rates of $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}(f_++f_-)$ are optimal. Very recently, Duan, Yang and Zhao* [5, 6] *established a global existence theory for the one-species Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in the whole space with hard potentials and soft potentials respectively, which generalized the pioneering work of Guo* [10] *with hard-sphere interaction. We believe that if the Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system is of two-species, then our observation can be used to remove the $L_v^2L_x^1$ assumption of the initial data in* [5, 6] *and get the faster decay of electric potential.*

Remark 1.4. *The constraint $s < 3/2$ in Theorem 1.2 comes from applying Lemma 2.5 to estimate the nonlinear terms when doing the negative Sobolev estimates via Λ^{-s}. For $s \geq 3/2$, the nonlinear estimates would not work. Since Lemma 2.5 implies that for $p \in (1,2]$, $L^p \subset \dot{H}^{-s}$ with $s = 3(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}) \in [0, 3/2)$, so as a byproduct, we obtain the usual L^p–L^2 $(1 < p \leq 2)$ type of the optimal decay rates for $f_+ + f_-$. Note also that the L^2 optimal decay rate of the higher-order spatial derivatives of the solution are obtained. Then the general optimal L^q $(2 \leq q \leq \infty)$ decay rates of the solution follow by applying the optimal Sobolev interpolation. We also remark that we do not require the $\dot{H}^{-s}$ or L^p norm of initial data be small. It is worth to pointing out that the optimal decay rates of $f_+ + f_-$ are new even for the Landau equation in the whole space with soft potentials* [9, 13]. *We also believe that our method can be applied to show the optimal decay rates for the Boltzmann equation in the whole space with soft potentials both with and without angular cut-off* [2, 19, 11, 13, 16, 17, 7, 15, 1].

Theorem 1.2 will be proved in section 5. To prove (1.35)–(1.36), we will establish a family of general versions of the differential inequality (1.34):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2) + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 \leq 0, \text{ for } \ell = 1, \dots, m, \tag{1.41}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)(t) \sim \sum_{0\leq k\leq \ell} \left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2$. Then (1.35)–(1.36) follow by applying the interpolation method (among velocity moments) developed in Strain and Guo [16] and the splitting method (velocity-time) developed in Strain and Guo [17]. To prove (1.38), the key is to establish the following a family of energy estimates with *minimum* spatial derivative counts on f_1:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1) + \mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)\right\} + \lambda\Bigg(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{m} \left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\Bigg) \leq 0, \text{ for } \ell = 0, \dots, m-1,\end{aligned} \tag{1.42}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t) \sim \sum_{\ell\leq k\leq m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2$. To achieve this, we will extensively and carefully use the Sobolev interpolation of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality between high-order and low-order spatial derivatives to control the nonlinear estimates. After deriving the negative Sobolev estimates (1.37), (1.38)–(1.39) follows by combing a Sobolev interpolation method among spatial regularity with the methods in [16, 17, 15].

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we establish an improved refined estimates for the nonlinear collision terms and collect some analytic tools. In section 3, we establish the nonlinear energy estimates for the local solutions. In section 4, we derive the basic time decay estimates and show that the local solution is global. In section 5, we obtain the further decay rates for the global solution.

2. Preliminary

In this section, we use $\widetilde{L}$ to uniformly denote the linear collision operators L, $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$, and we use $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ to denote the nonlinear collision operators Γ and Γ_*. We first recall the basic property of the linear collision operator $\widetilde{L}$.

Lemma 2.1. *We have* $\left\langle \widetilde{L}g, h\right\rangle = \left\langle g, \widetilde{L}h\right\rangle$, $\left\langle \widetilde{L}g, g\right\rangle \geq 0$, *and* $\widetilde{L}g = 0$ *if and only if* $g = \widetilde{P}g$, *where* $\widetilde{P}$ *is the* L_v^2 *orthogonal projection onto the null space of* $\widetilde{L}$, *correspondingly. Moreover,*

$$\left\langle \widetilde{L}g, g\right\rangle \gtrsim \left|\{I - \widetilde{P}\}g\right|_\sigma^2. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We only need to consider the case $\widetilde{L} = \mathcal{L}_2$. We use the following reexpression for $\mathcal{L}_2$ as Lemma 1 in [9]:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 g = -2\mu^{-1/2}\nabla_v \cdot \left\{\mu^{1/2}\sigma\left[\nabla_v g + vg\right]\right\} = -2\mu^{-1/2}\nabla_v \cdot \left\{\mu\sigma\nabla_v\left[\mu^{-1/2}g\right]\right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we integrate by parts to obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_2 g, h\rangle = \left\langle -\mu^{-1/2}\nabla_v \cdot \left\{\mu\sigma\nabla_v\left[\mu^{-1/2}g\right]\right\}, h\right\rangle = \left\langle \mu\sigma\nabla_v\left[\mu^{-1/2}g\right], \nabla_v\left[\mu^{-1/2}h\right]\right\rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, $\langle \mathcal{L}_2 g, h\rangle = \langle g, \mathcal{L}_2 h\rangle$, $\langle \mathcal{L}_2 g, g\rangle \geq 0$, and $\mathcal{L}_2 g = 0$ if and only if $g = \mathbf{P_2} g$ since σ is positively definite. The estimate (2.1) for $\mathcal{L}_2$ follows as Lemma 5 in [9]. $\square$

Next we recall the weighted estimates for $\widetilde{L}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $w = w(\alpha,\beta)$ in (1.17). For any small $\eta > 0$, there exists $C_\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}(1-q^2-\eta)\left|\partial^\alpha g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,0)} - C_{l,m,\eta}\left|\partial^\alpha g\right|^2_{\sigma} &\leq \left\langle w^2(\alpha,0)\partial^\alpha \widetilde{L} g, \partial^\alpha g\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left|\partial^\alpha g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,0)} + C_{l,m,\eta}\left|\partial^\alpha g\right|^2_{\sigma},\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and for $\beta \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta)} - \eta\sum_{|\beta_1|=|\beta|}\left|\partial^\alpha_{\beta_1} g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta)} - C_{l,m,\eta}\sum_{|\beta_1|<|\beta|}\left|\partial^\alpha_{\beta_1} g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta_1)} \\ &\leq \left\langle w^2(\alpha,\beta)\partial^\alpha_\beta \widetilde{L} g, \partial^\alpha_\beta g\right\rangle \\ &\leq \left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta)} + \eta\sum_{|\beta_1|=|\beta|}\left|\partial^\alpha_{\beta_1} g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta)} + C_{l,m,\eta}\sum_{|\beta_1|<|\beta|}\left|\partial^\alpha_{\beta_1} g\right|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta_1)}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We refer to Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 in [17] for the proof. Indeed, the upper bounds were not written down there, but the proof is the same. $\square$

We now prove the refined estimates for the nonlinear collision operator $\widetilde{\Gamma}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $w = w(\alpha,\beta)$ in (1.17). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\widetilde{\Gamma}[g_1,g_2],\ \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1\leq\alpha \\ \bar{\beta}\leq\beta_1\leq\beta}} C^{\alpha_1}_{\alpha} C^{\beta_1}_{\beta} C_{(\beta_1,\bar{\beta})}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar{\beta}} g_1\right|_2\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1} g_2\right|_{\sigma,w}\left(\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|_{\sigma,w} + l\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Hereafter $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small universal number and $C_{(\beta_1,\bar{\beta})}$ denotes constants depending on $\beta_1, \bar{\beta}$ but universal when $\bar{\beta} = \beta_1$. In particular, we have

$$\left\langle\widetilde{\Gamma}[g_1,g_2], g_3\right\rangle \lesssim \left|\mu^\delta g_1\right|_2\left|g_2\right|_\sigma\left|g_3\right|_\sigma. \tag{2.7}$$

Importantly, when $\alpha = \alpha_1, \beta = \bar{\beta}$ or $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ the constant in front of the first term of (2.6) does not depend on either l or m; otherwise, it depends on m! Note that there is a large factor l in the second term of (2.6). Our key improvement is that we are free to bound the term $\left|\mu^\delta f\right|_2$ by either $|f|_2$ or $|f|_\sigma$ and the term $|f|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}}$ by either $|f|_{2,w}$ or $|f|_{\sigma,w}$ as we want.

Proof. We prove the lemma for $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma_*$. As Proposition 7 in [8], by the product rule we expand

$$\langle w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\Gamma_*[g_1,g_2], \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\rangle = \sum C^{\alpha_1}_\alpha C^{\beta_1}_\beta \times G_{\alpha_1\beta_1},$$

where $G_{\alpha_1\beta_1}$ takes the form

$$-\left\langle w^2\left\{\Phi^{ij} * \partial_{\beta_1}\left[\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1} g_1\right]\right\}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1} g_2, \partial_i\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.8}$$

$$-(1+2q)\left\langle w^2\left\{\Phi^{ij} * \partial_{\beta_1}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1} g_1\right]\right\}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1} g_2, \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.9}$$

$$+(1+2q)\left\langle w^2\left\{\Phi^{ij} * \partial_{\beta_1}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha_1} g_1\right]\right\}\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1} g_2, \partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.10}$$

$$+\left\langle w^2\left\{\Phi^{ij} * \partial_{\beta_1}\left[\mu^{1/2}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha_1} g_1\right]\right\}\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1} g_2, \partial_i\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.11}$$

$$-4(l-|\alpha|-|\beta|)\left\langle \frac{w^2}{\langle v\rangle^2}\left\{\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]\right\}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2,\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.12}$$

$$+4(l-|\alpha|-|\beta|)\left\langle \frac{w^2}{\langle v\rangle^2}\left\{\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]\right\}\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2,\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle \tag{2.13}$$

with double summations over $1\le i,j\le 3$ and $\partial_i=\partial_{v_i}$.

Note that there is a (large) factor $4(l-|\alpha|-|\beta|)$ in both (2.12) and (2.13). The first two terms (2.8)–(2.9) would not encounter this factor, so they can be bounded in the same way as in Proposition 2.2 of [18] via the first term on the right-hand side of (2.6). We shall now first estimate the term (2.12). Since $\Phi^{ij}(v)=O(|v|^{-1})\in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $|\partial_{\beta_1-\bar\beta}\{v_i\mu^{1/2}\}|\le C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\mu^{1/4}$, by Lemma 2 in [9], the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]&\le C^{\bar\beta}_{\beta_1}\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\left|\Phi^{ij}*\left\{\partial_{\beta_1-\bar\beta}\left[v_i\mu^{1/2}\right]\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right\}\right|\\&\le C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left\{|\phi^{ij}|^2*\mu^{1/4}\right\}^{1/2}(v)\left\{\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\int\mu^{1/4}(v_*)\left|\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1(v_*)\right|^2dv_*\right\}^{1/2}\\&\le C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\langle v\rangle^{-1}\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right|_2\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

for a sufficiently small $\delta>0$. Hence, recalling (1.14), we bound (2.12) by

$$\begin{aligned}&lC_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right|_2\int\left|w^2\langle v\rangle^{-3}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|dv\\&\le lC_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right|_2\left|w\langle v\rangle^{-\frac32}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2\right|_2\left|w\langle v\rangle^{-\frac32}\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|_2\\&\le lC_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\sum_{\bar\beta\le\beta_1}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right|_2\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2\right|_{\sigma,w}\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac32}}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

For the rest three terms, we use an integration by parts inside the convolution (in v_*) to split

$$\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[\mu^{1/2}\partial_j\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]=\partial_j\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]-\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[\partial_j\mu^{1/2}\,\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right].\tag{2.16}$$

We first estimate the most singular term (2.11). The second part of (2.11) corresponding to the split (2.16) has the same upper bound as (2.8)–(2.9), we then focus on the first part of (2.11):

$$\left\langle w^2\left\{\partial_j\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}\left[\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1\right]\right\}\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2,\partial_i\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right\rangle\tag{2.17}$$

Note that $\partial_j\Phi^{ij}(v)=O\left(|v|^{-2}\right)\notin L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We thus decompose the integral region in the convolution $\partial_j\Phi^{ij}*\partial_{\beta_1}[\mu^{1/2}\partial^{\alpha_1}g_1]$ into two parts: $|v-v_*|\ge1$ and $|v-v_*|\le1$. When the integral is restricted to the region $|v-v_*|\ge1$ the singularity is avoided, so this part of (2.17) can have the same upper bound as (2.8)–(2.9). For the remaining part of (2.17) corresponding to the region $|v-v_*|\le1$, we bound it by

$$\begin{aligned}&C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\iint_{|v-v_*|\le1}|v-v_*|^{-2}w^2(v)\left|\mu^{1/4}(v_*)\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1(v_*)\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2(v)\partial_i\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3(v)\right|dvdv_*\\&\le C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\iint\left||v-v_*|^{-2}(\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1)(v_*)\right|\left|(w\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}g_2)(v)\right|\left|(w\mu^\delta\partial_i\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3)(v)\right|dvdv_*.\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

As in Proposition 3.5 of [7], applying Hölder's inequality, the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem and the Sobolev embedding, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(2.18) &\leq C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left||\cdot|^{-2}*(\mu^\delta\partial_{\bar\beta}^{\alpha_1}g_1)\right|_{L^6_v}\left|w\mu^\delta\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}g_2\right|_{L^3_v}\left|w\mu^\delta\partial_i\partial_\beta^\alpha g_3\right|_{L^2_v}\\ &\leq C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left|\mu^\delta\partial_{\bar\beta}^{\alpha_1}g_1\right|_{L^2_v}\left|\mu^\delta w\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}g_2\right|_{H^1_v}\left|\mu^\delta w\partial_i\partial_\beta^\alpha g_3\right|_{L^2_v}\\ &\leq C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left|\mu^\delta\partial_{\bar\beta}^{\alpha_1}g_1\right|_2\left|\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}g_2\right|_{\sigma,w}\left|\partial_\beta^\alpha g_3\right|_{\sigma,w}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, (2.11) is bounded via the first term on the right-hand side of (2.6).

We next estimate the term (2.10). The second part of (2.10) corresponding to the split (2.16) has the same upper bound as (2.8)–(2.9); while the first part has the same upper bound as (2.17). Hence, (2.10) is also bounded via the first term on the right-hand side of (2.6). We then finally turn to the last term (2.13). The second part of (2.13) corresponding to the split (2.16) has the same upper bound as (2.12); while the first part we again decompose the integral region in the convolution into two parts: $|v-v_*|\geq 1$ and $|v-v_*|\leq 1$. When the integral is restricted to the region $|v-v_*|\geq 1$ the singularity is avoided, so this part can have the same upper bound as (2.12). For the remaining part corresponding to the region $|v-v_*|\leq 1$, we employ the same argument for (2.18) to bound it by

$$\begin{aligned}&lC_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left|\mu^\delta\partial_{\bar\beta}^{\alpha_1}g_1\right|_{L^2_v}\left|\mu^\delta w\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}g_2\right|_{H^1_v}\left|\mu^\delta w\partial_\beta^\alpha g_3\right|_{L^2_v}\\ &\leq lC_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\left|\mu^\delta\partial_{\bar\beta}^{\alpha_1}g_1\right|_2\left|\partial_{\beta-\beta_1}^{\alpha-\alpha_1}g_2\right|_{\sigma,w}\left|\partial_\beta^\alpha g_3\right|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore, (2.13) is bounded via the second term on the right-hand side of (2.6). □

In what follows, we will collect the analytic tools which will be used in this paper. The first one is the Sobolev interpolation among the spatial regularity:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $2\leq p<\infty$ and $k,\ell,m\in\mathbb{R}$, then we have*

$$\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_p\lesssim\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2^\theta\left\|\nabla^m f\right\|_2^{1-\theta} \tag{2.21}$$

where $0\leq\theta\leq 1$ and k satisfies

$$k+3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)=m(1-\theta)+\ell\theta. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. For $2\leq p<\infty$, it follows from the classical Sobolev inequality [14] that

$$\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_p\lesssim\left\|\nabla^\zeta f\right\|_2 \text{ with } \zeta=k+3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right). \tag{2.23}$$

By the Parseval theorem and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\left\|\nabla^\zeta f\right\|_2\lesssim\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2^\theta\left\|\nabla^m f\right\|_2^{1-\theta}, \tag{2.24}$$

where $0\leq\theta\leq 1$ is defined by (2.22). Hence, (2.21) follows by (2.23)–(2.24). □

We have the following L^p inequality for Λ^{-s}:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $0<s<3/2,\ 1<p<2<\infty,\ 1/2+s/3=1/p$, then*

$$\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f\right\|_2\lesssim\|f\|_p. \tag{2.25}$$

Proof. It follows from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev theorem, see [14]. □

In many places, we will use the Minkowski's integral inequality to interchange the orders of integration over x and v.

Lemma 2.6. *For $1\leq p\leq q\leq\infty$, we have*

$$\|f\|_{L^q_zL^p_y}\leq\|f\|_{L^p_yL^q_z}. \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. For $q = \infty$ or $p = 1$ (2.26) is standard, see [14]. Now for $1 \le p \le q < \infty$ and hence $1 \le q/p < \infty$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^q_z L^p_y} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} |f|^p \, dy \right)^{q/p} dz \right)^{1/q} \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_y} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_z} |f|^q \, dz \right)^{p/q} dv \right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_{L^p_y L^q_z} . \tag{2.27}$$

We thus conclude the lemma. ☐

We also need the following version of the Gronwall lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $A(t), B(t), y(t) \ge 0$ *satisfy* $y(t) \le \int_0^t A(s)y(s)\, ds + B(t)$, *then*

$$y(t) \le e^{\int_0^t A(s)\, ds} \int_0^t A(s)B(s)\, ds + B(t). \tag{2.28}$$

Proof. The lemma is standard, see [8]. ☐

The last one is the basic time decay estimates of certain integrals.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that* $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$, $\lambda > 0$ *and* $\vartheta \ge 0$, *then*

$$\int_0^t e^{-\lambda\left((1+t)^{1-\varepsilon} - (1+\tau)^{1-\varepsilon}\right)} (1+\tau)^{-\vartheta} d\tau \le C_{\lambda,\vartheta,\varepsilon} (1+t)^{-\vartheta+\varepsilon}. \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. The proof is standard, see [18]. ☐

3. Local solution and basic energy estimates

We first record the local-in-time existence of unique solution to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system (1.6) if $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)$ is sufficiently small.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)$ *is sufficiently small. Then there exist* $0 < T \le 1$ *and* $M > 0$ *small such that there is a unique solution* $F = \mu + \sqrt{\mu} f \ge 0$ *with*

$$\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f(t)) + \int_0^t \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f(s))\, ds \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0) \lesssim M. \tag{3.1}$$

In general, if $0 \le t \le T$, *there exists an increasing continuous function* $P_{m,l}(\cdot)$ *with* $P_{m,l}(0) = 0$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(t)) + \int_0^t \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f(s))\, ds \lesssim P_{m,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)). \tag{3.2}$$

Notice that in this local existence theorem we have included the term $\|\mathbf{P}f\|_2^2$ in the dissipation; this allows us to prove Theorem 3.1 exactly in the same way as [8] with a little additional attention on the Poisson term which was already presented in [18]. Since the proof is similar to those of [8] and [18], we then omit it. However, for our global existence theorem, we need to exclude this term from the dissipation rate. So we can not use the estimates of Lemma 14 in [8] and we need to refine the energy estimates. We shall establish the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $f_0 \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3_x \times \mathbb{R}^3_v)$ *and assume* f *is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with* $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f) \le M$.

(1) We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f) + \int_0^t \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)\, ds \\ &\quad \le \mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0) + C_l \int_0^t \Big[\|\partial_t \phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_\infty + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;0,0}(f) \Big] \mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)\, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

(2) If $m \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f) + \int_0^t \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)\,ds \\ &\quad \leq \mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0) + C_{l,m}\int_0^t \left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty + \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\right]\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)\,ds.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Proposition 3.2 will be proved by the following a series of lemmas. We begin with the energy estimates without the velocity weight.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f) \leq M$. Then we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int \frac{|f|^2}{2} + \int |\nabla_x\phi|^2\right] + \lambda\,\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\sigma^2 \lesssim \sqrt{M}\left(\|\nabla_x f\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right). \tag{3.5}$$

If $k = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int\sum_\pm \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi}|\nabla^k f_\pm|^2}{2} + \int |\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi|^2\right] + \lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_2^2 + \sqrt{M}\left(\sum_{1\leq \ell\leq 2}\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

If $m \geq 3$, we have that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int\sum_\pm \frac{e^{\pm 2\phi}|\nabla^m f_\pm|^2}{2} + \int |\nabla^m\nabla_x\phi|^2\right] + \lambda\,\|\nabla^m\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\sigma^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty\,\|\nabla^m f\|_2^2 + \left(\sqrt{M}+\eta\right)\left(\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right) + C_{m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f).\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We will use the continuity equation of

$$\partial_t\rho + \nabla_x \cdot J = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

with $\rho = \int \sqrt{\mu}[f_+ - f_-]\,dv$ and $J = \int v\sqrt{\mu}[f_+ - f_-]\,dv$. From this and the Poisson equation $-\Delta_x\phi = \rho$, we have

$$2\int \nabla^k\nabla_x\phi \cdot v\sqrt{\mu}[\nabla^k f_+ - \nabla^k f_-] = \frac{d}{dt}\int |\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi|^2. \tag{3.9}$$

For (3.5), by (1.6), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int \frac{|f|^2}{2} + \int |\nabla_x\phi|^2\right] + \int \langle Lf, f\rangle = \int \sum_\pm \left(\Gamma_\pm(f,f) \mp \nabla_x\phi\cdot v f_\pm\right) f_\pm. \tag{3.10}$$

By the collision invariant property and the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int\sum_\pm \Gamma_\pm(f,f)f_\pm &= \int\sum_\pm \Gamma_\pm(f,f)\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm \\ &\lesssim \||f|_2\|_3\,\||f|_\sigma\|_6\,\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\sigma \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\|\nabla_x f\|_\sigma^2 + \|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\sigma^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Here we have taken $L^3-L^6-L^2$ in the x integration and used the Minkowski's integral inequality, Sobolev's and Cauchy's inequalities. Recalling (1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\sum_{\pm}\mp\nabla_x\phi\cdot vf_{\pm}f_{\pm}&\lesssim\int|\nabla_x\phi|\left|\langle v\rangle^{-1/2}f\right|_2\left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}f\right|_2\\&\lesssim\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2\,\||f|_\sigma\|_6\left\|\left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}f\right|_2\right\|_3\\&\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\|\nabla_x f\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Here we have used the fact that $\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}$ for $\ell=0,1$ by (1.18). Then (3.5) follows by further using Lemma 2.1 since $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\lesssim M$ is small.

Next letting $k\geq 1$, we prove (3.6) and (3.7). Applying ∇^k to (1.6) and then taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting identity with $e^{\pm 2\phi}\nabla^k f_\pm$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left[\int\sum_{\pm}\frac{e^{\pm2\phi}|\nabla^k f_\pm|^2}{2}+\int|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi|^2\right]+\int\langle L\nabla^k f,\nabla^k f\rangle\\&=\sum_{\pm}\int e^{\pm2\phi}\partial_t\phi|\nabla^k f_\pm|^2+\sum_{\pm}\int\mp(e^{\pm2\phi}-1)\nabla^k f_\pm\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu}\\&\quad+\sum_{\pm}\int(1-e^{\pm2\phi})\nabla^k f_\pm L_\pm\nabla^k f+\sum_{\pm}\int e^{\pm2\phi}\nabla^k f_\pm\nabla^k\Gamma_\pm(f,f)\\&\quad+\sum_{\pm,j<k}C_k^j\int e^{\pm2\phi}\nabla^k f_\pm\nabla^{k-j}\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^j\left(\nabla_v f_\pm-vf_\pm\right):=\sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Note that the weight function $e^{\pm2\phi}$ is so designed such that there was an exact cancelation for the high momentum contributions in the integration, see [8].

We now estimate $I_1\sim I_5$. Since $|e^{\pm2\phi}-1|\lesssim\|\phi\|_\infty\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\lesssim\sqrt{M}$ by the elliptic estimate, clearly,

$$I_1\lesssim\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_2^2,\tag{3.14}$$

and by using the exponential decay of μ, we obtain

$$I_2\lesssim\sqrt{M}\left(\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2+\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)\lesssim\sqrt{M}\left(\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\tag{3.15}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$I_3\lesssim\sqrt{M}\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2.\tag{3.16}$$

Now for the term I_4, we apply the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$I_4\leq C\sum_{j\leq k}C_k^j\int\left|\nabla^j f\right|_2\left|\nabla^{k-j}f\right|_\sigma\left|\nabla^k f\right|_\sigma dx.\tag{3.17}$$

For $k=1$, if $j=0$ we take $L^\infty-L^2-L^2$; if $j=1$ we take $L^3-L^6-L^2$ in (3.17) respectively to have an upper bound of

$$C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2.\tag{3.18}$$

For $k=2$, if $j=0$ we take $L^\infty-L^2-L^2$; if $j=1$ we take $L^3-L^6-L^2$; if $j=2$ we take $L^2-L^\infty-L^2$ in (3.17) respectively to have an upper bound of

$$C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sum_{\ell=1,2}\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_\sigma^2.\tag{3.19}$$

For $k = m \geq 3$, if $j = 0$ we take $L^\infty - L^2 - L^2$; if $j = m$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$ in (3.17) respectively (note that now $C_m^m = 1$) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2 + C\,\|\nabla^m f\|_2 \sum_{\ell=1,2}\left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_\sigma \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\lesssim \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} + \eta\right)\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2 + C_\eta \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Now if $j = 1$ we take $L^\infty - L^2 - L^2$; if $2 \leq j \leq m-1$ we take $L^4 - L^4 - L^2$ in (3.17) respectively to bound them by

$$\begin{aligned} &C_m \sum_{\ell=1,2}\left\|\nabla^\ell \nabla f\right\|_2 \|\nabla^{m-1} f\|_\sigma \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma + C_m \sum_{\substack{\ell=0,1\\ 2\leq j\leq m-1}}\left\|\nabla^\ell \nabla^j f\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^\ell\nabla^{m-j} f\right\|_\sigma \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\leq C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\leq \eta\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2 + C_{m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

We thus conclude the estimates of I_4. Note that the difference between our estimates of I_4 and that of Lemma 8 in [8] is that we excluded the term $\|f\|_\sigma^2$ therein.

Finally, we turn to the term I_5 and we perform an integration by parts in v and recall (1.14) to have

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &\lesssim \sum_{j<k} C_k^j \int \left|\nabla^j f \nabla^{k-j}\nabla_x\phi \cdot \nabla^k\left(\nabla_v f + v f\right)\right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j<k} C_k^j \int \left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^j f\right|_2 |\nabla^{k-j}\nabla_x\phi|\left|\nabla^k f\right|_\sigma dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

For $k = 1$ then $j = 0$, we take $L^3 - L^6 - L^2$ in (3.22) to have an upper bound of

$$\sum_{\ell=0,1}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2 \|\nabla^2\nabla_x\phi\|_2 \|\nabla f\|_\sigma \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2. \tag{3.23}$$

For $k = 2$, if $j = 0$ we take $L^3 - L^6 - L^2$; if $j = 1$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$ in (3.22) respectively to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\ell=0,1}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2 \|\nabla^3\nabla_x\phi\|_2 \|\nabla^2 f\|_\sigma + \left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla f\right\|_2 \sum_{\ell=1,2}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2 \|\nabla^2 f\|_\sigma \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\left\|\nabla^2 f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

For $k = m \geq 3$, if $j = 0$ we take $L^3 - L^6 - L^2$ in (3.17) to have an upper bound of

$$\sum_{\ell=0,1}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2 \|\nabla^{m+1}\nabla_x\phi\|_2 \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2. \tag{3.25}$$

Now if $j = m-1$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$; if $1 \leq j \leq m-2$ we take $L^4 - L^4 - L^2$ in (3.22) respectively to bound them by

$$\begin{aligned} &C_m \left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^{m-1} f\right\|_2 \sum_{\ell=1,2}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2 \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\quad + C_m \sum_{\substack{\ell=0,1\\ 1\leq j\leq m-1}}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell\nabla^j f\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^\ell\nabla^{m-j}\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2 \|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\leq C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma \\ &\leq \eta\,\|\nabla^m f\|_\sigma^2 + C_{m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Here we have use the fact that $\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. We therefore conclude the estimates of I_5. Note that the difference between our estimates of I_5 and that of Lemma 10 in [8] is that we had to replace $\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2$ therein by $\left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2$ since the Poincaré inequality fails.

Consequently, summing up the estimates for $I_1 \sim I_5$, by Lemma 2.1, we obtain (3.6) and (3.7). We thus conclude the lemma. $\square$

Notice that the dissipation estimate in Lemma 3.3 only controls the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$, we then want to include the hydrodynamic part $\mathbf{P}f$ and the electric potential ϕ to get the full dissipation estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3 \times \mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f) \le M$. For $k \ge 0$, there exists a function $G^k(t)$ with*

$$G^k(t) \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k f\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1} f\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^k \nabla_x \phi\right\|_2^2 \tag{3.27}$$

such that if $k = 0, 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} G^k(t) + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + M\left\|\nabla_x f\right\|_\sigma^2; \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

if $k = m-1$ with $m \ge 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} G^k(t) + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + C_m\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. From Proposition 16 of Guo [8] by using the local conservation laws and the macroscopic equations which are derived from the the so-called macro-micro decomposition, we have that for any $k \ge 0$, there exists a function $G^k(t)$ satisfying (3.27) ($\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2$ is included since the Poincaré inequality fails) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} G^k(t) + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2 \\ &\quad \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Here $N_\parallel$ denotes the L_v^2 projection of $N_\pm(f)$ with respect to the subspace

$$X_v \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{\sqrt{\mu}, v_i\sqrt{\mu}, v_iv_j\sqrt{\mu}, v_i|v|^2\sqrt{\mu}\right\}, \tag{3.31}$$

where $N_\pm(f) \equiv \Gamma_\pm(f,f) \pm \nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_v f_\pm - v f_\pm)$ representing the nonlinear term of (1.6). It then suffices to estimate $\left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2$. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be any function in X_v, we have

$$\left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2 \lesssim \sum_{j\le k} C_k^j \left\|\left\langle \Gamma(\nabla^j f, \nabla^{k-j} f) + \nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f - v f), \tilde{\mu}\right\rangle\right\|_2^2. \tag{3.32}$$

We apply the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\left\|\left\langle \Gamma(\nabla^j f, \nabla^{k-j} f), \tilde{\mu}\right\rangle\right\|_2^2 \lesssim \left\|\left|\nabla^j f\right|_2 \left|\nabla^{k-j} f\right|_\sigma\right\|_2^2. \tag{3.33}$$

When $k = 0, 1$, if $j = k$ we take $L^3 - L^6$; and if $k - j = 1$ we take $L^\infty - L^2$ in (3.33) respectively to bound these two cases by

$$\sum_{0\le\ell\le 2} \left\|\nabla^\ell f\right\|_2^2 \|\nabla_x f\|_\sigma^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\|\nabla_x f\|_\sigma^2. \tag{3.34}$$

When $k = m-1$ with $m \ge 3$, if $j = m-1$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty$; if $j = 0$ we take $L^\infty - L^2$; if $1 \le j \le m-2$ we take $L^3 - L^6$ in (3.33) respectively to bound them by

$$\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \tag{3.35}$$

Now, we use the integration by parts in v and the exponential decay of $\tilde{\mu}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\langle\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f-vf),\tilde{\mu}\rangle\right\|_2^2&=\left\|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\langle\nabla^{k-j}f,\nabla_v\tilde{\mu}+v\tilde{\mu}\rangle\right\|_2^2\\&\lesssim\left\|\left|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\right|\left|\nabla^{k-j}f\right|_\sigma\right\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.36}$$

Obviously, applying the same arguments as those for (3.33), we obtain the same upper bounds for (3.36). We then conclude our lemma. □

Next, we turn to the energy estimates with the velocity weight, and we first deal with the pure spatial derivatives of the solution.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\le M$. Let $w=w(\alpha,0)$ in (1.17). Then for $|\alpha|=1,2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\sum_\pm\frac{e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha f_\pm|^2}{2}+\lambda\left\|\partial^\alpha f\right\|_{\sigma,w}^2\\&\le C_l\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w}^2+C\left(\sqrt{M}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)\\&\quad+C_{l,\eta}\left(\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.37}$$

For $|\alpha|=m\ge3$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\sum_\pm\frac{e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha f_\pm|^2}{2}+\lambda\left\|\partial^\alpha f\right\|_{\sigma,w}^2\\&\le C_{l,m}\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w}^2+C\left(\sqrt{M}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)\\&\quad+C_{l,m,\eta}\left(\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.38}$$

Proof. Applying ∂^α with $|\alpha|=m\ge1$ to (1.6) and then taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting identity with $e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha f_\pm$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha f_\pm|^2}{2}+\int\left\langle w^2L_\pm\partial^\alpha f,\partial^\alpha f_\pm\right\rangle\\&=\mp\int\left[\frac{2(l-|\alpha|)}{1+|v|^2}\nabla_x\phi\cdot v-(q+1)\partial_t\phi\right]e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha f_\pm|^2\\&\quad\mp2\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu}\partial^\alpha f_\pm\\&\quad+\int\left(1-e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}\right)w^2\partial^\alpha f_\pm L_\pm\partial^\alpha f+\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha f_\pm\partial^\alpha\Gamma_\pm(f,f)\\&\quad\pm\sum_{\alpha_1<\alpha}C_\alpha^{\alpha_1}\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha f_\pm\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\cdot\partial^{\alpha_1}\left(\nabla_v f_\pm-vf_\pm\right):=\sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.\end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

First, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\int\left\langle w^2L\partial^\alpha f,\partial^\alpha f\right\rangle dx\gtrsim\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w}^2-C_{l,m}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma^2.\tag{3.40}$$

We now estimate $I_1\sim I_5$. Clearly,

$$I_1\le C_{l,m}\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w}^2,\tag{3.41}$$

and since $0\le q\ll1$,

$$I_2\le C_{l,m}\left(\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\alpha f\right\|_2^2\right)\le C_{l,m}\left(\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma^2\right).\tag{3.42}$$

By Lemma 2.2 again, we have

$$I_3\lesssim\sqrt{M}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w}^2+\sqrt{M}C_{l,m}\|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma^2.\tag{3.43}$$

Now for the term I_4, we apply the estimate (2.6) of Lemma 2.3 to get

$$I_4 \lesssim \sum_{\alpha_1\le\alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\right|_{\sigma,w} \left\{ |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2 \, |\partial^\alpha f|_{\sigma,w} + l \, |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_\sigma \, |\partial^\alpha f|_{2,w} \right\}, \tag{3.44}$$

where we have used $\left|\mu^\delta \partial^{\alpha_1} f\right|_2 \le |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_2$, $\left|\mu^\delta \partial^{\alpha_1} f\right|_2 \le |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_\sigma$ and $|\partial^\alpha f|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}} \le |\partial^\alpha f|_{2,w}$.

For $|\alpha| = 1$, if $\alpha_1 = 0$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$; if $\alpha - \alpha_1 = 0$, we take $L^6 - L^3 - L^2$ in (3.44) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} \sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2} \left\{ \|\partial^\gamma f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + C_l \, \|\partial^\gamma f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w} \sum_{|\gamma|\le 1} \left\{ \|\partial^\gamma \partial^\alpha f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + C_l \, \|\partial^\gamma \partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad \lesssim \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1;l,q}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1;l,q}(f)} + l \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1;l,q}(f)} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{1;l,q}(f)} \\
&\quad \lesssim \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} + \eta \right) \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f) + C_{l,\eta} \mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f) \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha, 0) = w(\gamma, 0)$ for $|\gamma| = 1$.

For $|\alpha| = 2$, if $\alpha_1 = 0$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$; if $\alpha - \alpha_1 = 0$ we take $L^\infty - L^2 - L^2$; if $|\alpha_1| = |\alpha - \alpha_1| = 1$ we take $L^4 - L^4 - L^2$ in (3.44) respectively to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} \sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2} \left\{ \|\partial^\gamma f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + l \, \|\partial^\gamma f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2} \|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w} \left\{ \|\partial^\alpha f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + l \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{|\gamma|\le 1 \\ |\alpha_1|=1}} \left\|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \left\{ \|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + l \, \|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad \lesssim \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} + l \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)} \\
&\quad \lesssim \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} + \eta \right) \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f) + C_{l,\eta} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f) \mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha, 0) \le w(\gamma, 0)$ for $|\gamma| \le 2$.

For $|\alpha| = m \ge 3$, note that if either $\alpha_1 = 0$ or $\alpha - \alpha_1 = 0$ we have $C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} = 1$. Then as in (3.46) we take L^∞ of the term without derivatives and L^2 of the other two terms in (3.44) respectively to bound these two cases by

$$\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} + \eta \right) \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f) + C_{l,m,\eta} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f) \mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \tag{3.47}$$

If $|\alpha_1| = 1$ we take $L^2 - L^\infty - L^2$ in (3.44) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{l,m} \left\|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2} \left\{ \|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_2 \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + \|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_\sigma \, \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w} \right\} \\
&\quad \le C_{l,m} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)} \left\{ \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3;3,0}(f)} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)} + \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{3;3,0}(f)} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)} \right\} \\
&\quad \le \eta \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f) + C_{l,m,\eta} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f) \mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

If $2 \le |\alpha_1| \le m-1$ we take $L^4 - L^4 - L^2$ in (3.44) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{l,m} \sum_{|\gamma|\le 1} \left\|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1} f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \left\{\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_2 \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} + \|\partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha_1} f\|_\sigma \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{2,w}\right\} \\ &\quad \le C_{l,m} \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)} \left\{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)} + \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)}\right\} \\ &\quad \le \eta \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f) + C_{l,m,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Finally, we turn to deal with the term I_5 and we shall use the split $f = \mathbf{P}f + \{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$. For the hydrodynamic part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha_1<\alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \int e^{\pm 2(q+1)\phi} w^2 \partial^\alpha f_\pm \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi \cdot \partial^{\alpha_1}\left(\nabla_v \mathbf{P} f_\pm - v\mathbf{P}f_\pm\right) \\ &\quad \lesssim \sum_{\alpha_1<\alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \int |\partial^{\alpha_1} f|_{2,w} \left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\right| |\partial^\alpha f|_{\sigma,w}\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

This term can be estimated as (3.22) with only the unweighted norms replaced by the weighted norms in the upper bounds. Since the microscopic part is always part of our dissipation rate, we can use the argument of Lemma 9 in [8] to obtain that for $|\alpha| = m \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha_1<\alpha} C_\alpha^{\alpha_1} \int e^{\pm 2(q+1)\phi} w^2 \partial^\alpha f_\pm \partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi \cdot \partial^{\alpha_1}\left(\nabla_v \{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\} f_\pm - v\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\right) \\ &\quad \le C_m \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w} \left(\|\partial^\alpha \nabla_x\phi\|_{H^1} \left\| |\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f|_{\sigma,\frac{w(0,0)}{\langle v\rangle^2}} \right\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}} + \left\|\nabla_x^2\phi\right\|_{H^2\cap H^{m-1}} \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

These two estimates imply that for $|\alpha| = 2$,

$$I_5 \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f); \tag{3.52}$$

and for $|\alpha| = m \ge 3$,

$$I_5 \lesssim \eta \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\sigma,w}^2 + C_{m,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \tag{3.53}$$

Consequently, collecting the estimates we thus conclude the lemma. □

We now turn to the mixed spatial-velocity derivatives of the solution. First notice that in view of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, it suffices to estimate the remaining microscopic part $\partial_\beta^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ for $|\alpha|+|\beta| \le m$ and $|\alpha| \le m-1$ with $m \ge 2$. We use the macro-micro decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\partial_t + v\cdot\nabla_x \mp \nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla_v\}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm \pm 2\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}(\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu}) + L_\pm f \\ &\quad = \Gamma_\pm(f,f) \mp \nabla_x\phi\cdot v\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm + \mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_x f_\pm) - v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P}f_\pm \\ &\qquad \pm \nabla_x\phi\cdot\left(\mathbf{P}(vf_\pm) - v\mathbf{P}f_\pm + \nabla_v\mathbf{P}f_\pm - \mathbf{P}(\nabla_v f_\pm)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Lemma 3.6. *Assume $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f) \le M$. Let $w = w(\alpha,\beta)$ in (1.17). For $|\alpha|+|\beta| \le 2$ with $|\alpha| \le 1$, we have that for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\int \sum_\pm \frac{e^{\pm 2(q+1)\phi} w^2 |\partial_\beta^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm|^2}{2} + \lambda \left\|\partial_\beta^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}^2 \\ &\quad \le C_l \left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right] \left\|\partial_\beta^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w}^2 + C\left(\sqrt{M}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f) \\ &\qquad + C_{l,\eta}\left(\sum_{\beta'<\beta} \left\|\partial_{\beta'}^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta')}^2 + \|\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^{|\alpha|+1}f\right\|_\sigma^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

For $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le m$ with $m\ge 3$ and $|\alpha|\le m-1$, we have that for any $\eta>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\sum_{\pm}\frac{e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm|^2}{2}+\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad\le C_{l,m}\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{2,w}+C\left(\sqrt{M}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)\\
&\qquad+C_{l,m,\eta}\left(\left[\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)+1\right]\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)+\left\|\nabla^{|\alpha|+1}f\right\|^2_\sigma\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.56}$$

Proof. Applying ∂^α_β with $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le m$ for $m\ge 2$ and $|\alpha|\le m-1$ to (3.54) and then taking the L^2 inner product of the resulting identity with $e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int\frac{e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm|^2}{2}+\int\langle w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta L_\pm\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f,\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\rangle\\
&\quad=-\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\delta^{\mathbf{e}_i}_\beta\partial^{\alpha+\mathbf{e}_i}_{\beta-\mathbf{e}_i}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\\
&\qquad\mp\int\left[\frac{2(l-|\alpha|-|\beta|)}{1+|v|^2}\nabla_x\phi\cdot v-(q+1)\partial_t\phi\right]e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm|^2\\
&\qquad+\int\left(1-e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}\right)w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^\alpha_\beta L_\pm\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\\
&\qquad\mp2\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}(\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu})\\
&\qquad+\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\Gamma_\pm(f,f)\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\\
&\qquad\pm\sum_{\alpha_1<\alpha}C^{\alpha_1}_\alpha\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\left(\nabla_v\partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm-\partial_\beta[v\partial^{\alpha_1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm]\right)\\
&\qquad\pm\sum_{\alpha_1\le\alpha}C^{\alpha_1}_\alpha\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot\partial^{\alpha_1}_\beta\left(\mathbf{P}(vf_\pm)-v\partial^{\alpha_1}\mathbf{P}f_\pm+\nabla_v\mathbf{P}f_\pm-\mathbf{P}(\nabla_vf_\pm)\right)\\
&\qquad+\int e^{\pm2(q+1)\phi}w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm\partial^\alpha_\beta\left(\mathbf{P}(v\cdot\nabla_xf_\pm)-v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P}f_\pm\right):=\sum_{i=1}^8 I_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.57}$$

Here $\delta^{\mathbf{e}_i}_\beta=1$ if $\mathbf{e}_i\le\beta$; otherwise, $\delta^{\mathbf{e}_i}_\beta=0$.

First, by Lemma 2.2, we have that if $\beta=0$,

$$\int\langle w^2\partial^\alpha L\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f,\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\rangle\gtrsim\|\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_{\sigma,w}-C_{l,m}\|\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\sigma;\tag{3.58}$$

if $\beta\ne0$, then for any $\eta>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\langle w^2\partial^\alpha_\beta L\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f,\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\rangle\\
&\quad\ge\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w}-\eta\sum_{|\beta'|=|\beta|}\left\|\partial^\alpha_{\beta'}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta')}-C_{l,m,\eta}\sum_{\beta'<\beta}\left\|\partial^\alpha_{\beta'}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta')}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.59}$$

We now estimate $I_1\sim I_8$. For any $\eta>0$ and $\beta\ge\mathbf{e}_i$, by Lemma 6 in [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1&\lesssim\left\|\delta^{\mathbf{e}_i}_\beta\partial^\alpha_{\beta-\mathbf{e}_i}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta-\mathbf{e}_i)}\left\|\partial^{\alpha+\mathbf{e}_i}_{\beta-\mathbf{e}_i}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w(\alpha+\mathbf{e}_i,\beta-\mathbf{e}_i)}\\
&\le\eta\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_\eta\left\|\delta^{\mathbf{e}_i}_\beta\partial^\alpha_{\beta-\mathbf{e}_i}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta-\mathbf{e}_i)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.60}$$

Clearly,

$$I_2 \le C_{l,m}\left[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\right]\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{2,w}. \tag{3.61}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have that for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim \sqrt{M}\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w} + C_{l,m}\sqrt{M}\left\|\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_\sigma \\ &\quad + \eta\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f) + C_{l,m,\eta}\sum_{\beta'<\beta}\left\|\partial^\alpha_{\beta'}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|^2_{\sigma,w(\alpha,\beta')}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

For the term I_4, we can move all the v derivatives ∂_β out of $\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ to the remaining factor in v; using the exponential decay of μ, we obtain

$$I_4 \le C_{l,m}\left\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_2 \le C_{l,m}\left(\|\nabla_x\phi\|^2_2 + \|\partial^\alpha\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\|^2_\sigma\right). \tag{3.63}$$

To estimate the term I_5, applying the estimate (2.6) of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$I_5 \le \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1\le\alpha\\ \bar\beta\le\beta_1\le\beta}} C^{\alpha_1}_\alpha C^{\beta_1}_\beta C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}\int\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right|_{\sigma,w}\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}f\right|_2\left\{\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right|_{\sigma,w} + l\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}}\right\}. \tag{3.64}$$

First notice that for all $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le 2$, if $(\alpha_1,\bar\beta)=0$ we can always take $L^2-L^\infty-L^2$ in (3.64), and we use the split $f=\mathbf{P}f+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ in the factor $\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right|_{\sigma,w}$, to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C\left(\|\partial^\alpha\mathbf{P}f\|_{2,w} + \left\|\partial^\alpha_{\beta-\beta_1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\right)\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \\ &\quad + C_l\|\partial^\alpha\mathbf{P}f\|_{2,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \\ &\quad + C_l\left\|\partial^\alpha_{\beta-\beta_1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} + \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)} \\ &\quad + C_l\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} + C_l\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f) + C_{l,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.65}$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha,\beta)\le w(\alpha,\beta-\beta_1)$. Notice carefully that here we adjusted the energy and dissipation components by making full use of the advantage that the terms $\left|\mu^\delta\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}g_1\right|_2$ and $\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta g_3\right|_{2,\frac{w}{\langle v\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}}$ can be included in either the energy or the dissipation when they are hit by the spatial derivatives. Note that we have concluded the case $|\alpha|+|\beta|=0$.

When $|\alpha|+|\beta|=1$, the remaing case is of $(\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)=0$, and we take $L^6-L^3-L^2$ in (3.64) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^\alpha_{\bar\beta}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w} \\ &\quad + C_l\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^\alpha_{\bar\beta}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)} + C_l\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f) + C_{l,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.66}$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha,\beta)=w(\gamma,0)$ for $|\gamma|=1$. This concludes the case $|\alpha|+|\beta|=1$.

When $|\alpha|+|\beta|=2$, if $(\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)=0$ we take $L^\infty-L^2-L^2$ in (3.64) to have an upper bound of

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\alpha_\beta f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C_l\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\alpha_\beta f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w}\\
&\quad\lesssim\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}+C_l\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)}\\
&\quad\lesssim\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)+C_{l,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.67}
$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha,\beta)\le w(\gamma,0)$ for $1\le|\gamma|\le2$. If $(\alpha_1,\bar\beta)\neq0$ and $(\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)\neq0$, then $|\alpha_1|+|\bar\beta|=1$ and $|\alpha-\alpha_1|+|\beta-\beta_1|=1$ since $|\alpha|+|\beta|=2$. Hence we take $L^6-L^3-L^2$ in (3.64) to have an upper bound of

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C_l\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar\beta}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w}\\
&\quad\lesssim\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}+C_l\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f)}\\
&\quad\lesssim\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)+C_{l,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.68}
$$

Here we have used the fact $w(\alpha,\beta)\le w(\gamma+\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)$ for $|\gamma|\le1$. This completes the case $|\alpha|+|\beta|=2$.

Now when $|\alpha|+|\beta|=m\ge3$, we shall separate four cases. The first case is either $(\alpha_1,\bar\beta)=(\alpha,\beta)$ or $(\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)=(\alpha,\beta)$. Indeed we now have $C^{\alpha_1}_\alpha C^{\beta_1}_\beta C_{(\beta_1,\bar\beta)}=C$. We note that $m\ge3$ so that we can take L^∞ of the term without derivatives and L^2 of the other two terms in (3.64), also when $(\alpha-\alpha_1,\beta-\beta_1)=(\alpha,\beta)$ we use the split $f=\mathbf{P}f+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ in the factor $\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta f\right|_{\sigma,w}$, to have an upper bound of

$$
\begin{aligned}
&C_l\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\|\partial^\gamma f\|_{\sigma,w}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\alpha_\beta f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C_m\|\partial^\alpha\mathbf{P}f\|_{2,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C_{l,m}\|\partial^\alpha\mathbf{P}f\|_{2,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\
&\quad+C_{l,m}\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{2,w}\\
&\quad\lesssim C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}+C_{l,m}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\\
&\qquad+\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\\
&\quad\lesssim\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}+\eta\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_{l,m,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.69}
$$

We next consider the case of $|\alpha_1|+|\bar{\beta}|=m-1$ and $|\alpha-\alpha_1|+|\beta-\beta_1|=1$, and we take $L^6-L^3-L^2$ in (3.64) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{l,m}\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le 1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar{\beta}}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\ &\le C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\\ &\le \eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_\eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

We now consider the case of $|\alpha_1|+|\bar{\beta}|=1$ and $|\alpha-\alpha_1|+|\beta-\beta_1|=m-1$, and we shall use the split $f=\mathbf{P}f+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ in the factor $\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right|_{\sigma,w}$. For the hydrodynamic part of (3.64) we take $L^3-L^6-L^2$; while for the microscopic part of (3.64) we take $L^2-L^\infty-L^2$ respectively to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{l,m}\sum_{|\gamma|\le 1}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\mathbf{P}f\right\|_{2,w}\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar{\beta}}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\ &\quad+C_{l,m}\left\|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar{\beta}}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\ &\quad\le C_{l,m}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}+C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3;3,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\\ &\quad\le \eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_{l,m,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

The remaining cases are of $2\le|\alpha_1|+|\bar{\beta}|\le m-2$ and $2\le|\alpha-\alpha_1|+|\beta-\beta_1|\le m-2$ (surely, now $m\ge 4$), and we take $L^6-L^3-L^2$ in (3.64) to bound it by

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{l,m}\sum_{|\gamma|=1}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}_{\beta-\beta_1}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\sum_{|\gamma|\le 1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha_1}_{\bar{\beta}}f\right\|_2\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\\ &\le C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)}\\ &\le \eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_{l,m,\eta}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

Notice that the term I_6 only occurs when $|\alpha|+|\beta|\ge 1$. Since $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f$ is always part of our dissipation rate, so we can use the argument of Lemma 9 in [8] to obtain that for $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le m$,

$$I_6\le C_m\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_{\sigma,w}\left(\left\|\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\phi\right\|_{H^1}\left\|\left|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right|_{\sigma,\frac{w(0,0)}{\langle v\rangle^2}}\right\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}}+\left\|\nabla_x^2\phi\right\|^2_{H^2\cap H^{m-1}}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f)\right). \tag{3.73}$$

In particular, if $|\alpha|+|\beta|\le 2$,

$$I_6\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f); \tag{3.74}$$

if $|\alpha|+|\beta|=m\ge 3$, since $|\alpha|\le m-1$,

$$I_6\le\eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;l,q}(f)+C_{m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;l,q}(f). \tag{3.75}$$

For the term I_7, we make use of the exponential decay in v of the hydrodynamic part to get

$$I_7\le C_{l,m}\sum_{\alpha_1\le\alpha}\int\left|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right|_\sigma\left|\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\right|\left|\partial^{\alpha_1}f\right|_\sigma\,dx. \tag{3.76}$$

If $|\alpha_1|\ge 1$, we take $L^2-L^\infty-L^2$ in (3.76) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{l,m}\left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2}\left\|\partial^\gamma\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_1}\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2\left\|\partial^{\alpha_1}f\right\|_\sigma\\ &\le C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\\ &\le \eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;m,0}(f)+C_{l,m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

Here we have use the fact that $1 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1$. If $|\alpha_1| = 0$, we take $L^2 - L^3 - L^6$ in (3.76) to have an upper bound of

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{l,m} \left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma \sum_{|\gamma|\leq 1} \|\partial^\gamma\partial^\alpha\nabla_x\phi\|_2 \sum_{|\gamma|=1} \|\partial^\gamma f\|_\sigma \\
&\leq C_{l,m}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)}\sqrt{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f)} \\
&\leq \eta\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{m;m,0}(f) + C_{l,m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m-1;m-1,0}(f)\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;2,0}(f).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

Finally, for I_8, we easily have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_8 &\leq C_{l,m} \left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma \left\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|+1} f\right\|_\sigma \\
&\leq \eta \left\|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f\right\|_\sigma^2 + C_{l,m,\eta}\left\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|+1} f\right\|_\sigma^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Consequently, collecting the estimates for $I_1 \sim I_8$, we thus conclude our lemma. □

We now present the

Proof of Proposition 3.2. For $m \geq 2$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m,l,q}(f) = &\int \frac{|f|^2}{2} + \int |\nabla_x\phi|^2 + \sum_{1\leq k\leq m}\left(\int\sum_\pm e^{\pm 2\phi}\frac{|\nabla^k f_\pm|^2}{2} + \int|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi|^2\right) \\
&+ \sum_{0\leq k\leq m-1}\varepsilon_k G^k(t) + \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m}\varepsilon_\alpha\int\sum_\pm\frac{e^{\pm 2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha f_\pm|^2}{2} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m\\|\alpha|\leq m-1}}\varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}\int\sum_\pm\frac{e^{\pm 2(q+1)\phi}w^2|\partial^\alpha_\beta\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_\pm|^2}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.80}$$

By taking ε_k sufficiently small, $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m,l,q}(f)$ is equivalent to our energy $\mathcal{E}_{m,l,q}(f)$ of (1.18) in the sense that there exists $C_{l,m}$ such that

$$C_{l,m}^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m,l,q}(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_{m,l,q}(f) \leq C_{l,m}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{m,l,q}(f). \tag{3.81}$$

By further taking $\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_\alpha, \varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta}, \eta$ sufficiently small orderly and choose M sufficiently small (independent of l and m!), we deduce Proposition 3.2 from Lemma 3.3–3.6. □

4. TIME DECAY AND GLOBAL SOLUTION

In this section, we will derive a further energy estimate which allows us to extract the strong decay rate of ϕ. Then we can close the energy estimates in Proposition 3.2 and thus complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall the notations $f_1 = f_+ + f_-$ and $f_2 = f_+ - f_-$ for the solution f to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system (1.6). The key point is to consider the evolution of f_2 and ϕ separating from (1.31):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t f_2 + v\cdot\nabla_x f_2 + 4\nabla_x\phi\cdot v\sqrt{\mu} + \mathcal{L}_2 f_2 = \Gamma_*(f_1, f_2) + \nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_v f_1 - v f_1), \\
&-\Delta_x\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_2\sqrt{\mu}\,dv.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f) \leq M$. There exists a function $\mathcal{E}^1_0(f_2)(t)$ with*

$$\mathcal{E}^1_0(f_2)(t) \sim \sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 \tag{4.2}$$

such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^1_0(f_2) + \sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 \leq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. The standard ∇^k energy estimates on (4.1) yields for $k=0,1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}&\left(\frac{\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2}{2}+2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\lesssim\sum_{0\le j\le k}\left|\left(\Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1,\nabla^{k-j}f_2)+\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f_1-vf_1),\nabla^k f_2\right)\right|:=I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

Our goal is to conclude an energy estimate for f_2 and ϕ solely that excludes f_1. So when estimating I_1 and I_2 we will bound the f_1-related factors by the energy rather than the dissipation. More precisely, applying the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$I_1\lesssim\sum_{0\le j\le k}\int\left|\nabla^j f_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^{k-j}f_2\right|_\sigma\left|\nabla^k f_2\right|_\sigma dx\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2.\tag{4.5}$$

Here in the x integration of (4.5), when $j=0$ we have taken $L^\infty-L^2-L^2$; and if $k=1$ and $j=1$ we have taken $L^3-L^6-L^2$ respectively. While we use an integration by parts in v and recall (1.14) to have

$$\begin{aligned}I_2&=\sum_{0\le j\le k}\left|\left(\nabla^{k-j}f_1\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi,\nabla^k(\nabla_v f_2+vf_2)\right)\right|\\&\lesssim\sum_{0\le j\le k}\int\left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^{k-j}f_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\right|\left|\nabla^k f_2\right|_\sigma dx\\&\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left\|\nabla_x\phi\right\|_{H^2}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma\\&\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\left\|\nabla_x\phi\right\|_{H^2}^2+\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Here when $j=0$ we have taken $L^2-L^\infty-L^2$; and if $k=1$ and $j=1$ we have taken $L^4-L^4-L^2$ in the x-integration of (4.6) respectively. So, we may conclude from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}&\left(\frac{\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2}{2}+2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\left\|\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Here we have used the elliptic estimate on the Poisson equation to have

$$\left\|\nabla_x\phi\right\|_{H^2}^2\lesssim\left\|\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla_x f_2\right\|_\sigma^2.\tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, similarly as Lemma 3.4, there exists a function $G^0_{f_2}(t)$ with

$$G^0_{f_2}(t)\lesssim\|f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\tag{4.9}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}G^0_{f_2}(t)&+\|\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\\&\lesssim\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\|_\sigma^2+\left\|N_\parallel\right\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

The key point in (4.10) is that we can include the term $\|\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2$, which follows by

$$\|\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2\lesssim\|\rho\|_2^2=\|\Delta\phi\|_2^2\lesssim\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2.\tag{4.11}$$

The last term in (4.10) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|N_\parallel\right\|_2^2&\equiv\|\langle\Gamma_*(f_1,f_2)+\nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_v f_1-vf_1)\,,v\sqrt{\mu}\rangle\|_2^2\\&\lesssim\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\left(\|f_2\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

Hence, (4.10) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}G^0_{f_2}(t)+\|\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\mathbf{P_2}f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\\&\quad\lesssim\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\|_\sigma^2+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)}\left(\|f_2\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Now we define

$$\mathcal{E}^1_0(f_2)(t):=\sum_{k=0,1}\left(\frac{\|\nabla^k f_2\|_2^2}{2}+2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)-\varepsilon G^0_{f_2}(t).\tag{4.14}$$

By (4.9), for ε sufficiently small, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}^1_0(f_2)(t)$ satisfies (4.2) and that (4.3) follows from (4.7) and (4.13) since $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\le M$ is small. □

We now establish the crucial strong decay rate of ϕ in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1 with $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\le M$. Then there exists $C_l>0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2(t)\right\|_2\\&\quad\le C_l(1+t)^{-2l+2}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,0}(f(\tau))},\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2(t)\right\|_2\\&\quad\le C_l e^{-C_l t^{2/3}}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f(\tau))}\ \text{for } 0<q\ll 1.\end{aligned}\tag{4.16}$$

Proof. Note that (4.3) is essentially same as (150) in [8], then we can get the same decay rate as [8]. Indeed, taking $\ell=1$ and $m=2$ in (5.56) and (5.61), we obtain

$$\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2(t)\right\|_2\le C_l(1+t)^{-2l+2}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,0}(f(\tau))},\tag{4.17}$$

and

$$\|\nabla_x\phi(t)\|_2+\sum_{k=0,1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2(t)\right\|_2\le C_l e^{-C_l t^{2/3}}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f(\tau))}\ \text{for } 0<q\ll 1.\tag{4.18}$$

By the Poisson equation and the continuity equation, we obtain

$$\|\nabla_x\phi\|_\infty\lesssim\left\|\nabla_x^2\phi\right\|_2+\left\|\nabla_x^3\phi\right\|_2\lesssim\|f_2\|_2+\|\nabla_x f_2\|_2\tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty=\left\|\Delta^{-1}\partial_t\rho\right\|_\infty=\left\|\Delta^{-1}\nabla_x J\right\|_\infty\lesssim\|J\|_2+\|\nabla_x J\|_2\lesssim\|f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x f_2\|_2.\tag{4.20}$$

We thus conclude the lemma. □

Now we can follow exactly the same strategy of [8] to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first choose the smooth initial data $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3_x\times\mathbb{R}^3_v)$ with $F_0=\mu+\sqrt{\mu}f_0\ge 0$.

Step 1. Global Small $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}$ Solution.

We denote

$$T_*=\sup_{t\ge0}\left\{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f(t))+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(f(\tau))d\tau\le M\text{ and }\int_0^t\left[\|\partial_t\phi(\tau)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(\tau)\|_\infty\right]d\tau\le\sqrt{M}\right\}.\tag{4.21}$$

Clearly $T_*>0$ if $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)$ is sufficiently small from Theorem 3.1. Our goal is to show $T_*=\infty$ if we further choose $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)$ small.

In Proposition 3.2, since $\int_0^{T_*}[\|\partial_t\phi\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(\tau)\|_\infty+\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(f)]\,d\tau\le 1$, we use the standard Gronwall lemma (Lemma 2.7) to deduce from (3.3) that

$$\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f(t))+\int_0^t\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\le C_l\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0). \tag{4.22}$$

By Lemma 4.1, we can improve the inequality above to be

$$\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f(t))+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{2;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\le C_l\mathcal{E}_{2;l,q}(f_0). \tag{4.23}$$

Combining Proposition 4.2 and this bound with $l=2$ and $q=2$, we obtain

$$\int_0^t[\|\partial_t\phi(\tau)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(\tau)\|_\infty]\,d\tau\lesssim\sup_{0\le\tau\le T_*}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f(\tau))}\int_0^t(1+\tau)^{-2}d\tau\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)}. \tag{4.24}$$

Upon choosing the initial condition $\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f_0)$ further smaller, we deduce that for $0\le t\le T_*$,

$$\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f(t))+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\le\frac{M}{2}<M \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\int_0^t[\|\partial_t\phi(\tau)\|_\infty+\|\nabla_x\phi(\tau)\|_\infty]\,d\tau\le\frac{\sqrt{M}}{2}<\sqrt{M}. \tag{4.26}$$

This implies that $T_*=\infty$ and the solution is global. Also, Proposition 4.2 and (4.23) imply that the assertion (1) holds.

Step 2. Higher Moments and Higher Regularity.

We shall prove this by an induction of the total derivatives $|\alpha|+|\beta|=m$. By (4.23), clearly (1.24) is valid for $m=2$. Assume (1.24) holds for $m-1$. By Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.1 and the induction hypothesis, we apply Lemma 2.7 to deduce from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(t))+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{m;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\\
&\le C_{l,m}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)\left[1+e^{(1+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{m-1;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau)}\left(1+\int_0^t\mathcal{D}_{m-1;l,q}(f(\tau))\,d\tau\right)\right]\\
&\le C_{l,m}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)\left[1+e^{P_{m-1,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0))}P_{m-1,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0))\right]\\
&\equiv P_{m,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used

$$\mathcal{E}_{m-1;l,q}(f_0)\le\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)\text{ and }P_{m-1,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m-1;l,q}(f_0))\le P_{m-1,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0)). \tag{4.28}$$

This concludes Theorem 1.1 for $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$. For a general datum $f_0\in\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}$ we can use a sequence of smooth approximation f_0^n to construct the approximate solutions and then take a limit in n to conclude the theorem. □

5. Further decay

In this section, we will derive some further energy estimates to deduce some further decay rates of the global solution obtained in Theorem 1.1 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Recall f_1 and f_2 again. The first lemma is a general version of Lemma 4.1 concerning with the energy estimates for the higher-order spatial derivatives of $f_2=f_+-f_-$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1. Then for any $\ell=1,\dots,m$ with $m\ge 2$, there exists a functional $\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)(t)$ with*

$$\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)(t)\sim\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^kf_2\right\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 \tag{5.1}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)+\lambda\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right)\\&\quad\le C_m\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)}+\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

Proof. We recall (4.1). The standard ∇^k energy estimates on (4.1) yields for $k=0,\dots,\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\|\nabla^k f_2\|_2^2}{2}+2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\quad\le\sum_{0\le j\le k}C_k^j\left(\Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1,\nabla^{k-j}f_2)+\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f_1-vf_1),\nabla^k f_2\right):=I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

We apply the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3 to have, by bounding the f_1-related factors via $\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}$ since $m\ge 2$,

$$I_1\lesssim\sum_{0\le j\le k}C_k^j\int\left|\nabla^j f_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^{k-j}f_2\right|_\sigma\left|\nabla^k f_2\right|_\sigma dx\le C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\sum_{0\le j\le k}\left\|\nabla^j f_2\right\|_\sigma^2.\tag{5.4}$$

While, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_2&=\sum_{0\le j\le k}C_k^j\left|\left(\nabla^{k-j}f_1\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi,\nabla^k(\nabla_v f_2+vf_2)\right)\right|\\&\le C_m\sum_{0\le j\le k}\int\left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^{k-j}f_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\right|\left|\nabla^k f_2\right|_\sigma dx\\&\le C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)}\sum_{0\le j\le k}\left\|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma\\&\le C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)}\left(\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\right)\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

Note that we require the extra $3/4$ so that when $k=\ell=m$ and $j=0$ we can bound by $\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\nabla^m f_1\right\|_2\le\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)}$; otherwise, we need to restrict that $\ell\le m-1$. In particular, when $m=2$ we can only take $\ell=1$ that we did in Lemma 4.2.

So, summing over $k=0,\dots,\ell$, we deduce from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left(\frac{\|\nabla^k f_2\|_2^2}{2}+2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right)+\lambda\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\quad\le C_m\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

On the other hand, as in Lemma 4.1, for $k=0,\dots,\ell-1$ there exists a function $G_{f_2}^k(t)$ with

$$G_{f_2}^k(t)\lesssim\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_2\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\tag{5.7}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}G_{f_2}^k+\left\|\nabla^k\mathbf{P_2}f_2\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\mathbf{P_2}f_2\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\\&\quad\lesssim\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\left\|\nabla^{k+1}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

Here the last term is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^k N_{\|}\right\|_2^2 &\equiv \left\|\langle \nabla^k \left(\Gamma_*(f_1, f_2) + \nabla_x\phi \cdot (\nabla_v f_1 - v f_1)\right), v\mu\rangle\right\|_2^2 \\ &\le C_m \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\left(\sum_{0\le j\le k} \left\|\nabla^j f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Hence, summing over $k = 0, \dots, \ell-1$, we deduce from (5.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} G_{f_2}^k(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k \mathbf{P_2} f_2\right\|_2^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2 \\ &\quad\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_2}\}f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + C_m\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Now, for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left(\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2 + 2\left\|\nabla^k\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2\right) + \varepsilon\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} G_{f_2}^k. \tag{5.11}$$

By (5.7), for ε sufficiently small, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}_0^\ell(f_2)(t)$ satisfies (5.1) and that (5.2) follows from (5.6) and (5.10). ☐

Next, we will derive an energy estimates which allows us to derive the optimal decay rate of f_1 and its higher-order spatial derivatives. We recall the evolution for f_1 separating from (1.31):

$$\partial_t f_1 + v\cdot\nabla_x f_1 + \mathcal{L}_1 f_1 = \Gamma_*(f_1, f_1) + \nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_v f_2 - v f_2). \tag{5.12}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1. Then for any $\ell = 0, \dots, m-1$ with $m \ge 2$, there exists a function $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t)$ with*

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t) \sim \sum_{k=\ell}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2 \tag{5.13}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1) + \lambda\left(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{m}\left\|\nabla^\ell f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\right) \\ &\quad\le C_m\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)} + \mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)\right)\left(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

Proof. The standard ∇^k energy estimates on (5.12) yields for $k = \ell, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2}{2} + \lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \\ &\quad= \sum_{0\le j\le k} C_k^j\left(\Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1, \nabla^{k-j}f_1) + \nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f_2 - v f_2), \nabla^k f_1\right) := I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

By the collision invariant property and the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left(\Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1, \nabla^{k-j}f_1), \nabla^k f_1\right)\right| &= \left|\left(\Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1, \nabla^{k-j}f_1), \nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right)\right| \\ &\lesssim \left\|\left|\mu^\delta\nabla^j f_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^{k-j}f_1\right|_\sigma\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma.\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Our goal is to finely estimate the right hand side of (5.16) so that it can be bounded by the right hand side of (5.14). The crucial point is to use the Sobolev interpolation of Lemma 2.4.

Indeed, by Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's integral inequality (2.26) of Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain that if $k = \ell, \dots, m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| |\mu^\delta \nabla^j f_1|_2 |\nabla^{k-j} f_1|_\sigma \right\|_2 &\lesssim \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^j f_1 \right\|_{L^3_x L^2_v} \left\| \nabla^{k-j} f_1 \right\|_{L^6_x L^2_\sigma} \lesssim \|\nabla^j f_1\|_{L^2_v L^3_x} \left\| \nabla^{k-j} f_1 \right\|_{L^2_\sigma L^6_x} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^\zeta f_1 \right\|_2^{1-\frac{j}{k+1}} \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^{k+1} f_1 \right\|_2^{\frac{j}{k+1}} \|f_1\|_\sigma^{\frac{j}{k+1}} \left\| \nabla^{k+1} f_1 \right\|_\sigma^{1-\frac{j}{k+1}} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)} \left\| \nabla^{k+1} f_1 \right\|_\sigma ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where ζ comes from the adjustment of the power index over $\left\| \nabla^{k+1} f_1 \right\|_\sigma$ and is defined by

$$j + 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) = \zeta \times \left(1 - \frac{j}{k+1}\right) + (k+1) \times \frac{j}{k+1} \Longrightarrow \zeta = \frac{k+1}{2(k+1-j)}. \tag{5.18}$$

Note that we have used $\|f_1\|_\sigma \le \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}$ since $m \ge 2$. Now let $k = m$. If $j = 0$ we take $L^\infty - L^2$ to have

$$\left\| \left| \mu^\delta f_1 \right|_2 |\nabla^m f_1|_\sigma \right\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} \, \|\nabla^m f_1\|_\sigma . \tag{5.19}$$

If $j = m$ we take $L^\infty - L^2$ to have

$$\left\| |\mu^\delta \nabla^m f_1|_2 |f_1|_\sigma \right\|_2 \lesssim \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^m f_1 \right\|_2 \sum_{j=1,2} \|\nabla^j f_1\|_\sigma ; \tag{5.20}$$

if $m = 2$, then we further bound the above by

$$\left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^2 f_1 \right\|_2 \|\nabla f_1\|_\sigma + \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^2 f_1 \right\|_2 \|\nabla^2 f_1\|_\sigma \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)} \, \|\nabla^2 f_1\|_\sigma . \tag{5.21}$$

If $m \ge 3$, then we bound it instead by

$$\left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^3 f_1 \right\|_2 \sum_{j=1,2} \|\nabla^j f_1\|_\sigma \lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{3;3,0}(f)} \, \|\nabla^3 f_1\|_\sigma . \tag{5.22}$$

Here we have used $\left\| \nabla^j f_1 \right\|_\sigma \le \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}$ for $1 \le j \le m-1$. Now if $1 \le j \le m-1$, similarly as in (5.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| |\mu^\delta \nabla^j f_1|_2 |\nabla^{m-j} f_1|_\sigma \right\|_2 &\lesssim \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^j f_1 \right\|_{L^2_v L^3_x} \|\nabla^{m-j} f_1\|_{L^2_\sigma L^6_x} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^\zeta f_1 \right\|_2^{1-\frac{j-1}{m}} \left\| \mu^\delta \nabla^m f_1 \right\|_2^{\frac{j-1}{m}} \|f_1\|_\sigma^{\frac{j-1}{m}} \|\nabla^m f_1\|_\sigma^{1-\frac{j-1}{m}} \\ &\lesssim \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)} \, \|\nabla^m f_1\|_\sigma ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

where ζ is defined by

$$j + 3\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) = \zeta \times \left(1 - \frac{j-1}{m}\right) + m \times \frac{j-1}{m} \Longrightarrow \zeta = \frac{3m}{2(m+1-j)}. \tag{5.24}$$

Hence, we conclude that for $k = \ell, \dots, m-1$,

$$I_1 \le \eta \left\| \nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1 \right\|_\sigma^2 + C_{m,\eta} \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f) \left\| \nabla^{k+1} f_1 \right\|_\sigma^2 \tag{5.25}$$

and for $k = m$,

$$I_1 \le \eta \|\nabla^m \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\|_\sigma^2 + C_{m,\eta} \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f) \|\nabla^m f_1\|_\sigma^2 . \tag{5.26}$$

For the term I_2, we integrate by parts in v and use the split $f_1 = \mathbf{P_1} f_1 + \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1$ to have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -\sum_{0\le j\le k} C_k^j \left(\nabla^{k-j} f_2 \nabla^j \nabla_x \phi, \nabla^k \left(\nabla_v f_1 + v f_1\right)\right) \\
&\le \sum_{0\le j\le k} C_k^j \int \Big\{ \left|\mu^\delta \nabla^{k-j} f_2\right|_2 \left|\nabla^j \nabla_x \phi\right| \left|\mu^\delta \nabla^k f_1\right|_2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + \left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2} \nabla^{k-j} f_2\right|_2 \left|\nabla^j \nabla_x \phi\right| \left|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right|_\sigma \Big\}\, dx \qquad (5.27) \\
&\le C_m \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)} + C_\eta \mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)\right) \sum_{0\le j\le k} \left(\left\|\nabla^j \nabla_x \phi\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^j f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\right) \\
&\quad + \eta \left\|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, summing over $k = \ell, \dots, m$, by (5.25)–(5.27), we deduce from (5.15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=\ell}^m \frac{\|\nabla^k f_1\|_2^2}{2} + \lambda \sum_{k=\ell}^m \left\|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \\
&\le C_m \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)} + \mathcal{E}_{m;m+3/4,0}(f)\right) \left(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^m \left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \sum_{k=0}^m \left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_2^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}
$$

On the other hand, for $k = \ell, \dots, m-1$, as before there exists a function $G_{f_1}^k(t)$ with

$$
G_{f_1}^k(t) \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1} f_1\right\|_2^2 \tag{5.29}
$$

such that

$$
\frac{d}{dt} G_{f_1}^k + \left\|\nabla^{k+1} \mathbf{P_1} f_1\right\|_2^2 \lesssim \left\|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^{k+1} \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2 . \tag{5.30}
$$

Here the last term is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla^k N_\parallel\right\|_2^2 &\le \sum_{0\le j\le k} C_k^j \left\|\langle \Gamma_*(\nabla^j f_1, \nabla^{k-j} f_1) + \nabla^j \nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_v f_2 - v f_2), \tilde{\mu}\rangle\right\|_2^2 \\
&\le \sum_{0\le j\le k} C_k^j \left\|\left|\mu^\delta \nabla^j f_1\right|_2 \left|\nabla^{k-j} f_1\right|_\sigma\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\left|\nabla^j \nabla_x \phi\right| \left|\mu^\delta \nabla^{k-j} f_2\right|_2\right\|_2^2 \\
&\le C_m \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f) \left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \sum_{0\le j\le k} \left(\left\|\nabla^j \nabla_x \phi\right\|_2^2 + \left\|\nabla^j f_2\right\|_\sigma^2\right)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}
$$

where we have used (5.17). Hence, summing over $k = \ell, \dots, m-1$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{k=\ell}^{m-1} G_{f_1}^k(t) + \sum_{k=\ell+1}^m \left\|\nabla^k \mathbf{P_1} f_1\right\|_2^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=\ell}^m \left\|\nabla^k \{\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P_1}\} f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + C_m \mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f) \left(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^m \left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x \phi\|_2^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}
$$

Now, for $\ell = 0, \dots, m-1$, we define

$$
\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t) := \sum_{k=\ell}^m \frac{\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2}{2} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=\ell}^{m-1} G_{f_1}^k . \tag{5.33}
$$

By (5.29), for ε sufficiently small, we deduce that $\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t)$ satisfies (5.13) and that (5.14) follows from (5.28) and (5.32). □

The following lemma provides the needed estimates for proving the faster decay rates of the microscopic part $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1$. We shall use the macro-micro decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\partial_t+v\cdot\nabla_x\}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1+\mathcal{L}_1f_1\\&\quad=\Gamma_*(f_1,f_1)+\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}\left(\nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_vf_2-vf_2)\right)+\mathbf{P_1}(v\cdot\nabla_xf_1)-v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P_1}f_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1. Then for any $k=0,\dots,m-2$ with $m\geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\quad\leq C_m(1+\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f))\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_1\right\|_2^2+\sum_{j=0}^k\left\|\nabla^jf_2\right\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right)\\&\qquad+C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

Proof. The standard ∇^k energy estimates on (5.34) yields for $k=0,\dots,m-2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2}{2}+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\\&\quad\leq\sum_{0\leq j\leq k}C_k^j\left|\Big(\Gamma_*(\nabla^jf_1,\nabla^{k-j}f_1),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big)\right|\\&\qquad+\sum_{0\leq j\leq k}C_k^j\left|\Big(\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\cdot\nabla^{k-j}(\nabla_vf_2-vf_2),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big)\right|\\&\qquad+\left|\Big(\nabla^k\left(v\cdot\nabla_x\mathbf{P_1}f_1-\mathbf{P_1}(v\cdot\nabla_xf_1)\right),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big)\right|:=I_1+I_2+I_3.\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

By the estimate (2.7) of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\Big(\Gamma_*(\nabla^jf_1,\nabla^{k-j}f_1),\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big)\right|\lesssim\left\|\left|\mu^\delta\nabla^jf_1\right|_2\left|\nabla^{k-j}f_1\right|_\sigma\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma\\&\lesssim\left\|\left|\mu^\delta\nabla^jf_1\right|_2\left(\left|\nabla^{k-j}\mathbf{P_1}f_1\right|_2+\left|\nabla^{k-j}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right|_\sigma\right)\right\|_2\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma\\&:=I_{11}+I_{12}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.37}$$

As in (5.17), we have

$$I_{11}\leq\eta\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma+C_{m,\eta}\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_1\right\|_2^2.\tag{5.38}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$I_{12}\lesssim\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;m,0}(f)}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma.\tag{5.39}$$

As in (5.27), we can obtain, since $k\leq m-2$,

$$I_2\leq\eta\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma+C_{m,\eta}\sum_{j=0}^k\left\|\nabla^j\nabla_x\phi\right\|_2^2.\tag{5.40}$$

Clearly, we have

$$I_3\lesssim\eta\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2+C_\eta\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_1\right\|_2^2.\tag{5.41}$$

We then conclude the lemma by taking η small. □

We now derive the evolution of the negative Sobolev norms of f_1. In order to estimate the nonlinear terms, we need to restrict ourselves to that $s\in(0,3/2)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f_0\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^3\times\mathbb{R}_v^3)$ and assume f is the solution constructed in Theorem 3.1. For $s\in(0,1/2]$, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\right\|_2^2+\lambda\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\lesssim\left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\right\|_2+\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\right)\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0};\tag{5.42}$$

and for $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f_1\right\|_2^2 + \lambda\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \lesssim \left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f_1\right\|_2 + \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\right)\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0} + \|f_1\|_2^{2s+1}\|\nabla f_1\|_2^{3-2s}. \tag{5.43}$$

Proof. The standard Λ^{-s} energy estimates on (5.34) yields for $0 < s < 3/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\left\|\Lambda^{-s} f_1\right\|_2^2}{2} + \lambda\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\nu^2 \\
&\quad \le \left(\Lambda^{-s}\Gamma_*(f_1, f_1), \Lambda^{-s} f_1\right) + \left(\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nabla_x\phi\cdot(\nabla_v f_2 - v f_2)\right), \Lambda^{-s} f_1\right) := I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

By the collision invariant property and the representation of Γ_* as Lemma 1 in [9], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\Lambda^{-s}\Gamma_*(f_1, f_1), \Lambda^{-s} f_1\right) = \left(\Lambda^{-s}\Gamma_*(f_1, f_1), \Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right) \\
&\quad = \Big(\Lambda^{-s}\Big(\partial_i\left(\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1\right) - \left\{\Phi^{ij} * [v_i\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1 \\
&\qquad\qquad -\partial_i\left(\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2}\partial_j f_1]\right\} f_1\right) + \left\{\Phi^{ij} * [v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial_j f_1]\right\} f_1\Big), \Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big) \\
&\quad = -\left(\Lambda^{-s}\left(\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1 - \left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2}\partial_j f_1]\right\} f_1, \partial_i\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right)\right. \\
&\qquad - \left(\Lambda^{-s}\left(\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [v_i\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1 - \left\{\Phi^{ij} * [v_i\mu^{1/2}\partial_j f_1]\right\} f_1\right)\right), \Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\Big) \\
&\quad := I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

We first estimate the term I_{11}. Since $0 < s < 3/2$, we let $1 < p < 2$ to be with $1/2 + s/3 = 1/p$. By the estimate (2.25) for Λ^{-s} in Lemma 2.5, Minkowski's integral inequality (2.26) in Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 2 in [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} &= -\left(\Lambda^{-s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1\right), \langle v\rangle^{-3/2}\partial_i\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right) \\
&\lesssim \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\left(\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1\right)\right\|_2 \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma \\
&\lesssim C_\eta\left\|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}\left\{\Phi^{ij} * [\mu^{1/2} f_1]\right\}\partial_j f_1\right\|_{L^p_x L^2_v} + \eta\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \\
&\lesssim C_\eta\left\|\left|\mu^\delta f_1\right|_2^2\left|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\nabla_v f_1\right|_2^2\right\|_{L^p_x} + \eta\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

We shall now use the split $f_1 = \mathbf{P_1} f_1 + \{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1$: for the microscopic part, we take $L^{3/s} - L^2$ in the L^p_x norm above and we further bound it by

$$\|f_1\|^2_{L^2_v L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\nabla_v\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f_1\|^2_{L^2_v H^2_x}\left\|\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|^2_{\sigma,\langle v\rangle^2} \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}; \tag{5.47}$$

while for the hydrodynamic part, we shall separate the estimates according to the value of s. If $0 < s \le 1/2$, then $3/s \ge 6$, we use Sobolev's inequality to have

$$\left\|\mu^\delta f_1\right\|^2_{L^2_v L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\nabla_v\mathbf{P}_1 f_1\right\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f_1\|^2_{L^2_v L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|f_1\|_2^2 \lesssim \|f_1\|_2^2\sum_{1\le|\gamma|\le 2}\|\partial^\gamma f_1\|_\sigma^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}; \tag{5.48}$$

and if $s \in (1/2, 3/2)$, then $2 < 3/s < 6$, we use the (different) Sobolev interpolation and Hölder's inequality to have

$$\|f_1\|_{L^2_v L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|f_1\|_2 \lesssim \|f_1\|_2^{s-1/2}\|\nabla_x f_1\|_2^{3/2-s}\|f_1\|_2 = \|f_1\|_2^{s+1/2}\|\nabla_x f_1\|_2^{3/2-s}. \tag{5.49}$$

For the second term I_{12}, as in (5.46) we have

$$I_2 \lesssim C_\eta\left\|\left|\mu^\delta\nabla_v f_1\right|_2\left|\langle v\rangle^{1/2} f_1\right|_2\right\|^2_{L^p_x} + \eta\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2. \tag{5.50}$$

We again use the split: for the hydrodynamic part, we can estimate it as for I_1; while for the microscopic part, we take $L^{2p}-L^{2p}$ in L^p_x norm to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\left|\mu^\delta\nabla_v f_1\right|_2\left|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right|_2\right\|^2_{L^p_x} &\lesssim \left\|\mu^\delta\nabla_v f_1\right\|^2_{L^{2p}_xL^2_v}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|^2_{L^{2p}_xL^2_v}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\gamma|\le 1}\left\|\mu^\delta\partial^\gamma\nabla_v f_1\right\|^2_2\left\|\langle v\rangle^{1/2}\partial^\gamma\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|^2_2 \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\left\|\partial^\gamma\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}_1\}f_1\right\|^2_{\sigma,\langle v\rangle}\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.51}$$

Note that I_{13} and I_{14} can be estimated in the similar way and have the same upper bounds.

Now for the term I_2, we integrate by parts in v and recall (1.14) to have

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= -\left(\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nabla_x\phi f_2\right),\Lambda^{-s}(vf+\nabla_v f_1)\right)\\ &\lesssim \left\|\Lambda^{-s}\left(\nabla_x\phi\langle v\rangle^{3/2}f_2\right)\right\|_2\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\right\|_\sigma \lesssim \left\||\nabla_x\phi|\left|\langle v\rangle^{3/2}f_2\right|_2\right\|_{L^p_x}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\right\|_\sigma\\ &\lesssim \|\nabla_x\phi\|_{L^{\frac{3}{s}}_x}\|f_2\|_{\sigma,\langle v\rangle^2}\left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\mathbf{P}_1f_1\right\|_\sigma+\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma\right)\\ &\lesssim \mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(f)\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\right\|_2+C_\eta\mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(f)\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(f)+\eta\left\|\Lambda^{-s}\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P}\}f_1\right\|^2_\sigma.\end{aligned}\tag{5.52}$$

Consequently, in light of (5.45)–(5.52), by taking η sufficiently small, we deduce that (5.42) holds for $s\in(0,1/2]$ and that (5.43) holds for $s\in(1/2,3/2)$. ☐

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We only need to prove the theorem for the smooth initial data, and for a general datum we can use a smooth approximation.

Step 1. Decay of $f_2=f_+-f_-$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f_0)\le M=M(m,l)$ is small for $l-\frac{3}{4}\ge m\ge 2$, then Theorem 1.1 implies that there exists a unique global $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}$ solution f, and $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f(t))\lesssim P_{m,l}(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f_0))\le M$ is small for all time t. Since $l\ge m+\frac{3}{4}$, by Lemma 5.1, we have that for $\ell=1,\dots,m$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^\ell_0(f_2)+\lambda\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|^2_\sigma+\|\nabla_x\phi\|^2_2\right)\le 0.\tag{5.53}$$

We now establish the polynomial decay by applying the interpolation method (among velocity moments) developed in [16]. By Hölder's inequality, for $k=0,\dots,\ell$ with $1\le\ell\le m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2 &= \left\|\langle v\rangle^{-1/2}\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^{\frac{4(l-\ell)}{4(l-\ell)+1}}\left\|\langle v\rangle^{4(l-\ell)}\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^{\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)+1}}\\ &\le \left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^{\frac{4(l-\ell)}{4(l-\ell)+1}}\left\{\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)+1}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.54}$$

Hence, we deduce from (5.53) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^\ell_0(f_2)+\lambda\left\{\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f(\tau))\right\}^{-\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)}}\left\{\mathcal{E}^\ell_0(f_2)\right\}^{1+\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)}}\le 0.\tag{5.55}$$

Solving this inequality directly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^\ell_0(f_2)(t) &\le \left(\left\{\mathcal{E}^\ell_0(f_2)(0)\right\}^{-\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)}}+\frac{\lambda}{4(l-\ell)}\left\{\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f(\tau))\right\}^{-\frac{1}{4(l-\ell)}}t\right)^{-4(l-\ell)}\\ &\le C_{l,\ell}\,(1+t)^{-4(l-\ell)}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f(\tau)).\end{aligned}\tag{5.56}$$

This implies the polynomial decay (1.35) by taking the square root of the above.

We now prove the stretched exponential decay by applying the splitting method (velocity-time) in [17]. Assume in addition that $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f_0) < +\infty$ with $0 < q \ll 1$, Theorem 1.1 implies that the unique global solution f is of $\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}$. For any $\theta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k = 0, \dots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 \gtrsim \int \langle v\rangle^{-1}|\nabla^k f_2|^2 &= \int_{|v|\le\theta(1+t)^\varepsilon} + \int_{|v|\ge\theta(1+t)^\varepsilon} \\ &\ge \frac{(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}\int_{|v|\le\theta(1+t)^\varepsilon}|\nabla^k f_2|^2 \\ &= \frac{(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_2^2 - \frac{(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}\int_{|v|\ge\theta(1+t)^\varepsilon}|\nabla^k f_2|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{5.57}$$

and we bound by

$$\int_{|v|\ge\theta(1+t)^\varepsilon}|\nabla^k f_2|^2 \le \int e^{q|v|^2}e^{-q\theta^2(1+t)^{2\varepsilon}}|\nabla^k f_2|^2 \le e^{-q\theta^2(1+t)^{2\varepsilon}}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \tag{5.58}$$

Hence, we deduce from (5.53) that, taking $\theta \ge 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2) + \frac{\lambda(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2) \le \frac{\lambda(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}e^{-q\theta^2(1+t)^{2\varepsilon}}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f). \tag{5.59}$$

We therefore have, taking $\varepsilon \ne 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left\{e^{\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}}\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)(t)\right\} &\le e^{\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}}\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}e^{-q\theta^2(1+t)^{2\varepsilon}}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f) \\ &\le \sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(\tau))\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}e^{\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}-q\theta^2(1+t)^{2\varepsilon}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)(t) &\le e^{-\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}}\Bigg(e^{\frac{\lambda}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}}\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)(0) + \sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(\tau)) \\ &\qquad\qquad\times\int_0^t \frac{\lambda(1+\tau)^{-\varepsilon}}{\theta}e^{\frac{\lambda(1+\tau)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}-q\theta^2(1+\tau)^{2\varepsilon}}d\tau\Bigg). \\ &\le C_l e^{-\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{\theta(1-\varepsilon)}}\sup_{0\le\tau\le T}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,q}(f(\tau)),\end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

if we have taken $1-\varepsilon \le 2\varepsilon$ and θ sufficiently large. This implies the stretched exponential decay (1.36) by taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3}$.

Step 2. Decay of $f_1 = f_+ + f_-$.

First, since $l \ge m + 3/4$, by Lemma 5.2, we have that for $\ell = 0, \dots, m-1$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1) + \lambda\left(\sum_{k=\ell+1}^m\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\right) \lesssim \sqrt{M}\left(\sum_{k=0}^m\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right). \tag{5.62}$$

Combining this and (5.53) with $\ell = m$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1) + \mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)\right\} + \lambda\Bigg(&\sum_{k=\ell+1}^m\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 + \left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2 \\ &+\sum_{k=0}^m\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2 + \|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\Bigg) \le 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.63}$$

From (5.54) again, we have for some λ_0 depending on the initial data and l, m, ℓ,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_\sigma^2+\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2+\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_2\right\|_\sigma^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\\&\geq\lambda_0\left\{\sum_{k=\ell+1}^{m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1\right\|_2^2+\left\|\nabla^\ell\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2+\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)\right\}^{1+\frac{1}{4(l-m)}}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.64}$$

Hence, it suffices to bound the only one remaining term $\left\|\nabla^\ell\mathbf{P_1}f_1\right\|_2^2$ in the energy in terms of the dissipation in (5.63). We now use an interpolation method (among spatial regularity) together with the splitting method (velocity-time) in [15]. Assuming for the moment that we have proved (1.37), then the key point is to do the Sobolev interpolation between the negative and positive Sobolev norms by using Lemma 2.4 that for $s\geq 0$ and $\ell+s>0$,

$$\left\|\nabla^\ell\mathbf{P_1}f_1\right\|_2\leq\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1\|_2^{\frac{1}{\ell+1+s}}\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}\mathbf{P_1}f_1\right\|_2^{\frac{\ell+s}{\ell+1+s}}\leq C_0\left\|\nabla^{\ell+1}f_1\right\|_\sigma^{\frac{\ell+s}{\ell+1+s}}.\tag{5.65}$$

Combining this and (5.64), we deduce from (5.63) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\{\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)+\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)\right\}+\lambda_0\left\{\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)+\mathcal{E}_0^m(f_2)\right\}^{1+\vartheta}\leq 0,\tag{5.66}$$

where $\vartheta=\max\left\{\frac{1}{\ell+s},\frac{1}{4(l-m)}\right\}$. Solving this inequality directly, we obtain in particular

$$\mathcal{E}_\ell^m(f_1)(t)\leq\left(\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f_0)^{-\vartheta}+\vartheta\lambda_0 t\right)^{-1/\vartheta}\leq C_0(1+t)^{-1/\vartheta}.\tag{5.67}$$

So, if we want to have the optimal decay rate of f_1 for all derivatives of order up to $m-1$, we only need to take l so large that

$$m-1+s\leq 4(l-m)\Longleftrightarrow l\geq\frac{5}{4}m+\frac{s-1}{4}.\tag{5.68}$$

This proves the optimal decay (1.38) by taking $l\geq\max\{m+\frac{3}{4},\frac{5}{4}m+\frac{s-1}{4}\}$.

Next, by Lemma 5.3, we have that for any $k=0,\dots,m-2$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2+\lambda\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\leq C_m\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_1\right\|_2^2+\sum_{j=0}^{k}\|\nabla^j f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\tag{5.69}$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $k=0,\dots,m-2$, as in (5.57), we have

$$\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_\sigma^2\geq(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2-(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}\int_{|v|\geq(1+t)^\varepsilon}|\nabla^k f_1|^2,\tag{5.70}$$

and we bound by

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}\int_{|v|\geq(1+t)^\varepsilon}|\nabla^k f_1|^2&\leq(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}(1+t)^{-4(l-k)\varepsilon}\int|v|^{4(l-k)}|\nabla^k f_1|^2\\&\leq(1+t)^{-(4l-4k+1)\varepsilon}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f).\end{aligned}\tag{5.71}$$

Hence, we deduce from (5.69) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2+\lambda(1+t)^{-\varepsilon}\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1\right\|_2^2\\&\leq(1+t)^{-(4l-4k+1)\varepsilon}\mathcal{E}_{m;l,0}(f)+C_m\left(\left\|\nabla^{k+1}f_1\right\|_2^2+\sum_{j=0}^{k}\|\nabla^j f_2\|_2^2+\|\nabla_x\phi\|_2^2\right).\end{aligned}\tag{5.72}$$

Denoting

$$\vartheta=\min\left\{(4l-4k+1)\varepsilon,k+1+s,4l-4k,4l-4\right\},\tag{5.73}$$

by (1.35), (1.38) and Lemma 2.8, we thus deduce from (5.72) that for $\varepsilon \neq 1$,

$$\left\|\nabla^k\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1(t)\right\|_2^2 \leq C_0 e^{-\frac{\lambda(1+t)^{1-\varepsilon}}{1-\varepsilon}}\left(1+\int_0^t e^{\frac{\lambda(1+\tau)^{1-\varepsilon}}{1-\varepsilon}}(1+\tau)^{-\vartheta}d\tau\right) \leq C_0(1+\tau)^{-\vartheta+\varepsilon}. \tag{5.74}$$

So, if we want to have the almost optimal decay rate of $\{\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P_1}\}f_1$ with any small ε in (1.39) for all derivatives of order up to $m-2$, we only need to take l so large that

$$(4l-4(m-2)+1)\varepsilon,\ 4l-4(m-2) \geq m-1+s \Longleftrightarrow l \geq \frac{m-1+s}{4\varepsilon}+m-\frac{9}{4}, \tag{5.75}$$

since ε is small. This proves the almost optimal decay (1.39).

Finally, we turn back to prove (1.37). First, for $s \in (0,1/2]$, integrating in time the estimate (5.42) of Lemma 5.4, by the bound (1.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(t)\right\|_2^2 &\leq \left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(0)\right\|_2^2 + C\int_0^t \left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(\tau)\right\|_2 + \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(\tau)\right)\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(\tau)\,d\tau \\ &\leq C_0\left(1+\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(\tau)\right\|_2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.76}$$

By Cauchy's inequality, this together with (1.21) gives (1.37) for $s \in [0,1/2]$, and thus verifies (1.38) for $s \in [0,1/2]$. Now we let $s \in (1/2,3/2)$. Observing that now $f_0 \in L^2_v\dot{H}^{-1/2}_x$ since $\dot{H}^{-s}_x \cap L^2_x \subset \dot{H}^{-s'}_x$ for any $s' \in [0,s]$, we then deduce from what we have proved for (1.38) with $s=1/2$ that the following decay result holds:

$$\sum_{\ell\leq k\leq m}\left\|\nabla^k f_1(t)\right\|_2^2 \leq C_0(1+t)^{-(\ell+\frac{1}{2})} \text{ for } \ell=0,\dots,m-1. \tag{5.77}$$

Hence, by (5.77) and (1.21), we deduce from (5.43) that for $s \in (1/2,3/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(t)\right\|_2^2 &\leq \left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(0)\right\|_2^2 + C\int_0^t \left(\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(\tau)\right\|_2 + \mathcal{E}_{2;2,0}(\tau)\right)\mathcal{D}_{2;2,0}(\tau)\,d\tau \\ &\quad + C\int_0^t \|f_1(\tau)\|_2^{2s+1}\|\nabla f_1(\tau)\|_2^{3-2s}\,d\tau \\ &\leq C_0 + C_0\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(\tau)\right\|_2 + C_0\int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-(5/2-s)}\,d\tau \\ &\leq C_0\left(1+\sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t}\left\|\Lambda^{-s}f_1(\tau)\right\|_2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{5.78}$$

This proves (1.37) for $s \in (1/2,3/2)$ and thus verifies (1.38) for $s \in (1/2,3/2)$. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. □

REFERENCES

[1] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C. J. Xu, and T. Yang, The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: qualitative properties of solutions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **202**(2) (2011), 599–661.

[2] R. Caflisch, The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential. I, II, Comm. Math. Phys., **74** (1980), 71–95, 97–109.

[3] P. Degond, M. Lemou, Dispersion relations for the linearized Fokker-Planck equation, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **138**(2) (1997), 137–167.

[4] R. J. Duan, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, Global solutions to the Vlasov-Poisson-Landau System, preprint (2011), arXiv:1112.3261v1.

[5] R. J. Duan, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system in the whole space: The hard potential case, J. Differential Equations, **252** (2012), 6356–6386.

[6] R. J. Duan, T. Yang and H. J. Zhao, The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system for soft potentials, To appear in Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., (2012), arXiv:1112.1453v1.

[7] P. T. Gressman, R. M. Strain, Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **24**(3) (2011), 771–847.

[8] Y. Guo, The Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in a periodic box, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (2012), 759–812.

[9] Y. Guo, The Landau equation in a periodic box, Comm. Math. Phys., **231** (2002), 391–434.

[10] Y. Guo, The Vlasov–Poisson–Boltzmann system near Maxwellians, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **55**(9) (2002), 1104–1135.

[11] Y. Guo, Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with angular cutoff, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **169** (2003), 593–630.

[12] F. Hilton, Collisional transport in plasma, Handbook of Plasma Physics, Volume I: Basic plasma physics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

[13] L. Hsiao, H. J. Yu, On the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann and Landau equations with soft potentials, Quart. Appl. Math., **65** (2) (2007), 281–315.

[14] E. M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, 1970.

[15] R. M. Strain, Optimal time decay of the non cut-off Boltzmann equation in the whole space, preprint (2011), arXiv:1011.5561v2.

[16] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, Almost exponential decay near Maxwellian, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **31** (2006), 417–429.

[17] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, Exponential decay for soft potentials near Maxwellian, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **187** (2008), 287–339.

[18] R. M. Strain, K. Zhu, The Vlasov-Poisson-Landau system in $\mathbb{R}^3_x$, preprint (2012), arXiv:1202.2471v1.

[19] S. Ukai, K. Asano, On the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation with a soft potential, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **18**(2) (1982), 477–519 (57–99).

School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, Fujian 361005, China
E-mail address: `yanjin_wang@xmu.edu.cn`